YouthAlert! (YA!) U.S.A.

"Youth Peace" Building World Peace Strategic Plan to United Nations Member States October 2022

Chapters: About Us, Our "Youth Peace" World Peace Campaign, Internal Induvial Identity (iii)/Free Will-True Choice/Good Principles, Violence, Bullying, and Abuse (VBA), Nations/States, Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMDs), Teamwork, Justice, God/Religion.

Additional Keywords: advancement, ceasefire, civil society, defense, detainment, deterrence, diplomacy, excellence, guarantee, guns, human rights, humanitarian, opinion, protest, reach out, sanctions, self-defense, sovereignty, talks, terrorists, voluntary.

I. About Us

We are War Against Violence Corporation dba YouthAlert! (YA!) U.S.A./Win The War! Against Violence. We are a non-profit public charity based in the United States (U.S.) and a non-governmental organization (NGO). The following is our YouthAlert! (YA!) U.S.A. "Youth Peace" Building World Peace Strategic Plan to United Nations Member States, part of our YouthAlert! (YA!) "Youth Peace" Building Campaign.

YouthAlert! (YA!) U.S.A. - Win The War! Against Violence, an NGO in Special Consultative Status with the United Nations (U.N.) Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) since July 27, 2017, and Associated with the United Nations Department of Global Communications (DGC) since 2015. We strictly follow U.N. Resolution 1996/31, July 25, 1996, on Consultative Status.

We think of our NGO as not a back door but another front door for peace and human rights. This may be what U.N. Member States had in mind when they created U.N. ECOSOC Consultative Status in 1996. In our official capacity, we serve all 193 U.N. Member States equally in the areas of "Peace, Youth Peace, and Human Rights/The Good Principles of Humanity." We view and treat them with the same standards. No double standards. But we welcome examination and questions by all U.N. Members States and others of our positions to keep us on our toes and true to our word. If we make a double standard mistake or inadvertently state something that is contradictory, which of course, is possible, we intend to correct it immediately.

We stay in our lane, we have no ulterior motives, and there are no other strings attached. What we offer is a free service of our ECOSOC Special Consultative Status. The U.N. is wise. It understands well the contribution we in civil society, the third sector, can make. But it is not our intention to step on anyone's toes. We are not mimicking any nation's policies. We are often left guessing what each nation's policy is.

We are an equal opportunity "praiser." We have no problem praising or helping "any" nation that is trying to better the lives of its youth. All our international dealings are through the United Nations. We are transparent.

What our NGO speaks, writes, or communicates is "opinion only." They are our opinions and our opinions alone. We are not agents of any kind to any party. We only offer "information" to U.N. Members States so they can make the most educated and precise decisions possible. We help nations turn over every stone of information in a situation, knowing that any stone, including the last stone, might change the situation entirely.

Our NGO is "reaching," not "preaching." Just offer opinions, information, and suggestions. Actuate the positive. Our NGO's peace plan is only one of the thousands of peace plans that will work. And although there may always be many options. there can also be clear choices. They do not conflict. We are not governmental experts. We are human rights world peace "Youth Peace" activists and advocates. We want youth not to perish, so the human race doesn't perish. We want to keep the focus on the kids. Old school thinking is only having "total faith" in adults that adults alone can solve the problems they created. We suggest transferring some of that "total faith" from adults to youth.

When it comes to "dual loyalty," nobody has to worry about our NGO. We are loyal to morality. To Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality and the correct application of these principles.

That's why we don't say the word "fact." Because if we say something is a "fact," then it means you have no choice. Maybe what our NGO offers that is more important than "information" is "encouragement." Our NGO may be miles from the action, but we believe most evens have self-evident problems and self-evident solutions. We do, however, wish we could be more eloquent in our messaging. Right now, we are trying to speak as plainly as possible. The fringe of our arguments may sometimes be a little frayed, but the core of our concepts is steady.

The purpose of our communications is peace and violence prevention. We think there is not enough peace in the world and certainly not enough violence prevention in the world.

Our NGO might not be able to affect the whole world, but we wish to stand up and be counted when it counts to be counted.

Our NGO's may be somewhat different in acquiring information. We do not censor any incoming information or censor any outgoing information. Our NGO is very, very hungry for any and all information regarding a particular subject. Our NGO's never feels like we have enough information on any given topic. We do what is possible to support all sources of information so they will continue to do so. We only draw the line if someone advocates for violence, bullying, or abuse (VBA), and our super red line is if these attacks are made against "any" youth. Attacks on youth, we believe, are the slipperiest slope to worldwide suicide. Adults are shooting themselves in the foot. So, we take all public information in, and it all goes into the soup of information which is in a constant state of mixing and coagulation. And we know just one ingredient can dramatically change the broth.

As far as the many public statements we read, we take them all in, but of course, we take them all with a grain of salt. We do not base all our opinions and assessments on public comments made by nations, but they are an important piece of the puzzle. Misinformation does seem to bother our NGO as much as other people. And generally speaking, we think even disinformation sometimes has useful information in it. We also understand that sometimes everything all the parties say publicly is in the context of negotiation.

We don't want any private information, but we are always very actively trying to find more public information. We would never argue with anyone who said our NGO does not have enough information. But even if we have zero information on a topic, we will not stop pushing for peace.

We think both the message and the messenger could be important. It's fair to consider both. They can possibly be looked at together and/or separately in an attempt to get a more complete picture. Everything matters. It's not hard to know what our NGO thinks about anything. It's simply, "what is best for youth?" The answer is usually self-evident.

We are loyal American citizens, and we are loyal to our U.N. ECOSOC Special Consultative Status. They do not conflict; otherwise, we would not do them both. There are many American organizations with the same Consultative Status. It should be known I am American first, then head of a charity, then head of an NGO with U.N. ECOSOC Special Consultative Status. Again, none of these conflicts with each other, or we would not do them together.

We are not running a "shadow" anything. We have no hidden agendas. We don't tell anyone how to do the job and visa-vera. Our NGO is uncensored. We are trying to be honest. Sometimes maybe we are "too honest." I realize that there are one million people in the world who are wrongly imprisoned for political, humanitarian, or human rights activities. I might not be able to communicate the way I am now with nations had I been born/lived in a different country. So sometimes, we feel like we have a special responsibility to speak out because so many others like us are wrongly imprisoned and silenced.

We NEVER do anything involving a nation without those nations' direct, concise, and confirmed permission and say so. We would never do anything that might make matters worse.

Our NGO doesn't gossip, talk behind people's backs or keep sensitive secrets. Unless it is an extreme situation, we also maintain confidentiality when asked by U.N. Member States.

We hope people will receive and review our correspondence and others from us in the spirit in which it is written, which is service, respect, goodwill, good faith, and peace for all U.N. Member Nations and their people.

How our NGO interacts with all parties is with "kindness" and "equality." It doesn't cost anything to be nice. One of the things our NGO has learned is that all that people want is kindness. All people. A "smile" is the universal langue of kindness.

Our NGO is not based on politics or religion.

No one ever has to wonder what we think. We put everything in writing. And whatever we speak of, we try to be as specific as possible.

Our NGO certainly does not overestimate our NGO's impact on the world, but we do not underestimate it either.

What our NGO does is "go for it" and "keep everyone on the same page." It's a battle of "doubt" and "do."

Our NGO is always, and always will be, working hard towards human advancement, which is human survival. This advancement may or may not need substantial changes. But there is another side. We are also, at the same time, trying to make lemonade out of lemons. This means we are also doing the very best we can with everything that is available or existing right now. Doing nothing solely based on principle is not a principle we are familiar with.

The bottom line, we care and are going to try. We can't tell what impact our NGO might have, if any, but we can tell if the situation is moving in the right direction or not. That's what matters anyway. Not what our NGO thinks but what the most Honored and esteemed U.N. Member Nation does. Peace is our reward. We are going to keep trying to reach, reach, reach for peace with everyone. Maybe then, someday, it will be a peace that reaches everyone.

II. Our "Youth Peace" World Peace Campaign

Our NGO's Mission is "World Peace through "Youth Peace." We believe that "Youth Peace" is the best steppingstone to "World Peace." We consider "youth" everyone twenty-six years of age or younger. We believe all youth are equal in importance, meaning, value, worth, and any other ways known or unknown. That youth are "perfect" no matter who they are, who they want to be, and who they will be. We believe it is all youth's "destiny" to live a long and healthy life.

It is our NGO blueprint that "Youth Peace" can precede world peace. We believe it may be one of the fastest ways to world peace. So, we are trying to encourage as many U.N. Member States as possible to try this theory to see if it works without letting other nations which are now or were peaceful "slip" into youth violence problems.

When we look at the world through this specific "Youth Peace" lens and prism, we see a great many nails that need to be hammered to achieve our NGO's definition of "Youth Peace." Our "World Peace through "Youth Peace" mission is not part of the U.N. body. It is strictly our own NGO's undertaking.

When we say "Youth Peace" for some reason, people then understand what "peace" is. It connects and resonates with everyone. It's true. Nothing else we said or did in the areas of human rights and peace activism had a profound effect. That is why we push "Youth Peace" 24/7. It works.

Our belief is that "youth peace" might be the world's best peace plan since women and most children on not on the front lines of war and violence; youth are. We think reducing youth participation in violence best defangs the monster of violence. "Truth" is not the first causality of war "youth" is. When we advocate for "Youth Peace" with all of the world's youth, we think that we could be delivering a vital service to all nations. Plus, we found "Youth Peace" has been the only "peace talk" we do that resonates with many State representatives and other various decision-makers.

Peace, to us, is the absence of violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) or the threat of VBA in line with the Good Principles of Humanity: Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality and the correct application of them, meaning one principle cannot be sacrificed for another. The ends DOES NOT justify the means. If violence is the "means," then violence IS the "ends." Violence is that strong a primal force. What it is, is peaceful ends through peaceful means.

Peace is the solution.

We believe that peace is "The First Principle of Everything." For without peace, there is no life, love, freedom, or equality, no nothing

We believe peace is the lynchpin to human advancement, human happiness, and human survival. That teamwork is how best to achieve peace, and we believe an equal youth/adult team may be the best team for lasting peace. Half the world's population is 30 years old or younger. Youth, we believe, are our partners and not our enemy, no matter which side of a conflict they are on. We have also discovered that "Youth

Peace" "resonates." We should work to free youth from doing violence or being a victim of violence. Give youth a chance.

But it is our belief as human rights activists and peace activists that it must be "Peace First." Without peace first, the rest of the Good Principles of Humanity, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality may never get the chance. "Peace First" is logical. We can't put the cart in front of the horse. There should be "Peace First."

According to our calculation, "Youth Peace First" may be the best way to achieve "Peace First" anywhere. Sometimes when we talk to men and we speak of the suffering of women and children, they don't bat an eye. But when we speak to these same men about "Youth Peace," we have their undivided attention. Maybe that is because some men might think that youth is the ultimate weapon of war and that youth is their true line of defense.

We believe that all 1.8 billion youth (between the ages of 10 and 24) in the world are equal in importance, meaning, value, and worth and equal in any other way we can think of. *This means all 1.8 billion youth in the world should be "equally" protected.* "Russian Youth" are equal to "U.S. Youth" who are equal to "Chinese Youth" who are equal to "Stateless Youth," etc. etc.

"Youth Peace" is the goal. We are fighting to end the "War Against Youth" with all forms of violence and with all youth. All "wars" are "Youth vs. Youth Wars."

The time is right. The time is always right for peace. We have a formula for peace, and it is called "Youth Peace First." Since we began promoting the plan internationally in 2017, our experience since then has helped reinforce this theory rather than degrade it. It might not work tomorrow or the day after that, but we think this plan is promising today. We believe that events in the world are very repetitive. Fortunately, there are consistent solutions to these reoccurring problems.

It is youths' number one job to survive their youth, and it is adults' job to help them. Survival is the name of the game. Ninety-nine percent of all species on this planet are already extinct, including every other of the over ten hominids. If youth don't survive their youth, there won't be any more adults. Many times, its youth who take care of older adults so adults can continue to survive.

When all the information is given to youth, they usually make the right choices.

We need to reach each youth individually. Adults need to be there. We can't mail it in.

In distressed families/close units, going through youth, I believe, could be the way to help them.

We try to give youth a starting point and all the relevant information for them to form their opinions and make "true choices."

All youth are one hundred percent "innocent." We would not be fulfilling our NGO's purpose of "Youth Peace" if we did not speak up regarding conflict or potential conflict situations.

That youth are "perfect" just the way they are, no matter who they are, who they want to be, and who they will be. We believe and assume that all youth should not change unless they want to.

If adults don't want to partner with youth, the very least they can do is give them respect. The ultimate respect you can give to youth is to give them peace. Letting them survive. No violence. No wars.

To truly protect and save "Any Youth," we must protect and save "All Youth" or "Youth" will always be "Fair Game."

When you shell or drop a bomb on any youth, you are doing this to all youth in the world too. You are sending a clear message in that nation, and indeed all the world, that youth are fair game for annihilation. I guess it is partly all our fault for letting just a few men have the ability to destroy life on a level that some are doing. It's highly hypocritical and a double standard when which means nations would have to operate with much less "credibility" when talking about other youth.

But for what positive purpose would someone kill perfect and one hundred percent innocent youth? I think we can add up the reasons.....zero. It might seem like we are trying to stand in the way of youth being massacred, but that is kinda like our NGO's job. But we also don't want what is second worst to violence against youth which is holding an axe to youths' necks so they cannot live in peace.

Youth are the "tip of the spear" in most violent conflicts, which also makes them very visible targets for retribution. When the final horrible mortality numbers in violent conflicts are added up, I think it will show, as in all violent conflicts, that it is youth who carry the biggest burden. All violence conflicts are "adult proxy wars" since they only get their youth to fight them.

Actually, war is not that foggy. Youth die.

When we treat youth with kindness, they act like they are respected. And when we treat youth with equality, they act like they have more self-esteem.

We have to push every button and pull every lever to try to stop the "War Against Youth" everywhere. The exception is if our own actions would hurt our own youth on their own.

This is not a fight for fame, money, power, control, etc., but for individual and collective human survival. "Youth Peace," we believe, is the key.

Then "Peace Plan" we advocate most for is "Youth Peace First." According to NGO's experience and our research, we believe "Youth Peace First" may be one of the fastest ways to world peace, teamwork and human advancement, happiness, and survival. "Peace is always possible" and that "WITH peace, anything is possible.

Our NGO, as long as it exists, will always complain when any of the one hundred percent innocent and perfect 1.8 billion youth in the world are being killed or persecuted. Our NGO's motto could have been "keep calm and save youth." Us peaceful people are the best fighters because we know how to keep our powder dry until the time is right. Like right now. Maybe this is another "hair on fire" moment. And the best way we know how to stop a bully, or accomplished anything else for that matter, is teamwork. And our NGO is doing exactly what we said we were going to do from the start.

When there is actual violence or violent threats again youth, our NGO is going to do the worst thing we could do, which is..... complain. We are going to complain through the proper channels, in the correct manner, within established protocols, with respect, and with equality and kindness. We pound equality and kill people with kindness. That's how we roll.

But when we complain about military aggressions, we are not bullying. We are standing up for our principles against violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA). Right is might. If might is right, then right is

wrong. We are trying to do it the right way, which is not to become a bully ourselves. It is not our intent to try to embarrass nations. We are just advocating for peace and pushing back against unjustified violence. We don't go along to get long, especially in regard to violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA.) We are not ridiculing either, but we are calling out unjustified violence. Also, if nations are not embarrassed about what they are doing unjustified violence, then they are not going to be worried about little ol us. We are not throwing the nations under the bus. Nations crawl under the bus themselves when they do violence.

If being a third wheel, or pressing the issue, politely helps in any way, that would be O.K. with us. But we do not intend to pressure. There is more than enough pressure already in the world. We are trying to offer positive encouragement. Trying to help put the "T" in Team. I'm sure there is still much more we in civil society can do. People and parties are only powerless if they think they are.

Sometimes I think the worst thing we peace/human rights advocates and NGOs could do is not just complain and push back but leave everyone alone. Then nations would be stuck in this "bully or be bullied world" maybe forever. That would be punishment enough.

We like all peace plans as long as it "peaceful ends through peaceful means," but our "YouthAlert! (YA!) U.S.A. Peace Doctrine" is simply that youth don't die, and youth don't suffer in wartime, peacetime, or anytime.

As with all our NGO's "Peace Plans," it is designed around the concept that "youth don't suffer." And any immediate and viable threats against any youth, verbal or otherwise, are not acceptable. Peace, however, is just the "first goal"; the "final goals" are human advancement, human happiness, and human survival. Violence is bad for business. It might help a few companies, but it destroys the overwhelming vast majority of businesses and business activities. Business is a luxury and a benefit of a peaceful society.

Our NGO wants to stop the "War Against Youth" and "Youth vs. Youth Violence." We think the solution is inside-out. From each person's "Internal Induvial Identity (iii)" to their actions, from there being peace in the home and family/close unit to then peace in a neighborhood or community. In that vein, we first want to stop Arab youth violence vs. Arab youth, Muslim youth violence vs. Muslim youth, U.S. minority youth violence vs. minority youth, and also to stop Korean youth violence vs. Korean youth and Chinese youth violence vs. Chinese youth. We think this can create credibility and an example that can prove to be a useful model for others.

We prefer peace plans that are "the straightest line between two peace points." The quickest, fastest, most economical, and most sustainable avenue for peace. We believe our "Youth Peace Plan" might be the "straightest line" to world peace. Not because we want it this way but because we think this way works.

"Youth Peace" might not always be the first best peace option in every case. But our NGO's research long ago showed that it is the best overall peace solution option. We think that holds true in today's violent conflicts.

If two leaders or leaderships of two states have a problem with each other, bring the problem to the U.N. or some other venue. Don't make youth suffer. To make any youth suffer would be incredibly selfish, and we believe selfishness, not money, is the root of all evil. Even if two respective leaders disagree on everything else, they should at least be able to agree on not hurting youth. Any youth. Nowadays, I care a whole lot less about what adults say to each other, and I care a whole lot more about how they talk to and treat youth. Especially their own youth. I've learned that adults will say anything to keep the "War

Against Youth" going. Just keep "adult" problems between "adults," please. Whenever there is a conflict between adults, I always ask myself the same question, "What does this have to do with youth?" And the answer is always the same. Nothing.

When youth fill the streets to demonstrate or protest peacefully, the streets then become more valuable if the streets were paved with gold. Youth on the streets should be treated with the greatest amount of respect states and adults can manage. I cannot think of one single nation or state who is not guilty of the polar opposite treatment of youth when they are on the streets. Adults cannot and will not advance efficiently or sufficiently in their country or this world without youth. And to get the most out of youth's contribution, you need to partner with them. Without youth partnerships, I think we adults may end up with nothing.

And when youth, any youth, have something to say, even if it is in protests or on the street, you can guarantee that youth are "at least" fifty percent right on what they are expressing. That should be respected. And if you disrespect one youth, you disrespect all youth.

When youth are publicly demonstrating and protesting peaceful, and you can bet that the vast majority of all youth are indeed peaceful, all that is necessary is "respect" for these youth which simply means treating youth with "Equality" and "Kindness." That's it. Those two things. Not just by states but anywhere youth are. Or it's useless, negative, counterproductive, never-ending, self-harming "repetition" by adults.

Youth usually protest after a specific "War Against Youth" act or incident. So, then youth took to the streets to protest the "War Against Youth" as they should. And some youth protesters are then met with even more "War Against Youth" by police authorities. The solution is crystal clear, NO MORE "War Against Youth" at any stage or level.

With any protest or demonstration, there might be a couple of knuckleheads who try to do violence and damage because they are much more anonymous in a crowd. But don't throw out the very good with the very bad. Punish the very few perpetrators of the crimes, and do not blame the rest of the youth for protesting peacefully for at least fifty percent good reason. Youth should be "praised" for doing so. And security forces often include youth as well, so the very last thing a nation wants to do is create a more obscene "Youth vs. Youth War" deep within its own borders. What's more self-destructive to a nation than that?

So, leave youth alone. Don't touch them. Nobody has the right. Nobody owns youth, say for the individual youth. Youth are nobody's puppets. Thousands of failed states throughout human history have made that mistake. Weaponizing youth backfires on states. Maybe we were aware of that before, but we are aware of that now. Current states should learn from past states' errors. No one is going to kill youth, not you, not me, not us. No one is going to let it happen.

Youth are the present. If we adults want our youth to be the future, too, sometimes we might have to take steps to ensure it. Not just our "future," but our "present" depends on young people too. We want kid's dreams. We need kids' dreams.

If any of the parties are concerned about public consumption of any "Peace Plans," they should, by all means, consult with their citizens. I'm sure if nations do consult with their populations, there will also be many great new peace plan ideas that will be suggested by the public. If nations are worried about "saving face" because of factions in their own government or in the public forum. I'm sure other nations and the United Nations can help with that to make sure the settlement looks like total "Teamwork,"

which it will be. We understand that in the world of politics, it can often be that "perception is reality," so attention must be given to the "optics" of a settlement too. We understand and expect that. Any agreement between parties, we believe, will take total teamwork, so the "optics" should correctly reflect that positive outcome. But peace is what "stabilization" truly is. It may be hard for some to believe, but that does "not" necessarily need to include political "stability" as well.

If killing the youth of another U.N. Member State isn't in breach of the U.N. Charter, I don't know what is. And I don't see how a nation that willingly joined the U.N. can then "not" talk about human rights. It would be like jumping into a swimming pool but trying not to get wet.

We are fighting for "A Better World." As long as the is a chance for "Youth Peace," we are going to take it. If youth die, any youth..... it's bad for me, you, they, them, and the world.

If our NGO has been successful at anything, it is getting the word out about "Youth Peace." We feel confident saying that all U.N. Members State, all U.N. bodies, a good slice of the media, and a great many others understand the concept and the long adult history of creating "Youth vs. Youth Wars." We very much feel like "the cat is out of the bag." For that reason, we believe it is now "too late" for any new violent conflict. We know better now. It's a new world and a new worldview. A better one. In the next violent conflict, we believe people will just be counting youth lives and limbs on all sides of the conflict.

But we are not frustrated if some nations don't "get" our "Youth Peace" message, for it seems there are other positive messages out there that some nations don't "get" yet. And even if our "Youth Peace Plan" is the opposite of what is currently going on in the world today, we don't think that is necessarily a bad thing either.

Yes, you can say right now our NGO is a one-trick pony. Buts it's a pretty good trick, peace. And as I said before, we need to grab peace at this time "while we still can." Nobody really knows what lies around the corner for humankind. Peace may be a rare opportunity we might not want to miss.

Most people are good and peaceful.

We are not on any adult's "side," whoever they are, when they are the ones "responsible" for youth violence and "Youth vs. Youth Wars." A failed practice whose time we thought had already passed.

We still believe that the best goal would be to "lessen" the viable threat of violence against "all" youth in all regions without exception. This would hopefully include some "demilitarization" by all parties. Protecting youth to us is real "national security." But at this moment, many nations are headed in the opposite direction.

We often hear how "complicated' this problem is and that the solution is "complicated" too. We respectfully disagree. We think, contrary to public opinion, that the peaceful solution in any situation is "relatively simple." Equal life, equal protection, and "don't pull the trigger."

I think "Youth Peace" can start to gain traction and prevail. This is our plan. This is where our NGO's time will go once peace and the rest of the Good Principles of Humanity are established. We are humankind. We need to be both "human" and "kind." Being human means using your "free will," and being kind means putting someone else in front of you.

If "Youth Peace" steps aren't taken, we believe youth who are already suffering today will suffer even more tomorrow.

Unless we correctly pinpoint the source of the problem, it will be hard to find the correct solution.

We are going to keep trying until there is a peaceful solution. We win when youth win. All world's youth, with or without nationality or statehood. We would never "turn our back" on any of them.

There is a right and wrong in the human world. Evil did not win before in the world, and evil won't win now. Is the world worth saving? Yes. Let's save the world while there is still a world to save. Actually, the earth doesn't need saving right now; it's humanity that does. The way the world "is" and the way the world "could be" can be two different things. Respecting youth can be the starting point. The short equation is Peace = Survival. Peace may be esoteric, but so is love.

At this point, if our NGO really does care about youth, it would be hypocritical, uncommendable, and a waste of our esteemed ECOSOC Special Consultative Status for us not to at least try to offer whatever help we could on a going-forward basis. We owe it to youth. And being an NGO in the U.S., we feel even more compelled to be enjoined when American youth lives on the line.

But "Youth Peace" is not, and should not, be a turf war. No one owns youth collectively or individually. No one owns anyone. No one should ever ask for blind loyalty, marginalization, or willful negligence on this subject. History has proven that that has not been a successful strategy either.

Our "Youth Peace" World Peace plan and proposal are not a negotiation; it is only a proposal by an NGO, and all are welcome to comment on it. We are not diplomats. It is not confidential, but it is proprietary to parties outside the U.N. Seeking out youths' opinions is something we are particularly active in doing. But we are not trying to convince anyone of anything. Our "Peace Plan" is essentially a suggestion, an option, to be looked at, explored, or disregarded by the relevant U.N. parties. It does not challenge anyone's authority or role, nor does it attempt to cross over into other sectors such as private business, government, or anything else. In addition, we are also trying to offer as much information as we have to the relevant parties so they can make the best decision possible.

We are strictly here to serve. But it is unreasonable not to expect some pushback, done the proper way, or done through the proper channels, when people are suffering somewhere in the world and when children and youth lives are at risk. Fortunately, we live in a world where people do care about those things. It's not fair to ask members of civil society to be deaf, dumb, blind, and brainless. Our NGO plans to work through the potential obstacles of arrogance, poorly structured entities, mismanagement, incompetence, stubbornness, bullying, and aggression to offer peaceful solutions. Facing such behaviors will further strengthen our positive view of youth.

NGO's might also have an advantage since they may transcend the terms of some public officials, which may provide a little extra stability. But it seems changes in personnel over the last seventy years have done little to improve the situation on the ground. Also, having a third party bring up tender subjects may benefit the primary parties by airing out these topics without them risking a backlash.

So far, I think our NGO has done a fair job not creating or acknowledging "any" double standards among "any" youth.

We can guarantee you, though, that we have no double standards when it comes to the 1.8 billion perfect youth in the world. Once again, we believe that all 1.8 billion youth (between the ages of 10 and 24) in the world are equal and should be treated with kindness. Kindness is a language of its own, and it's a

universal language. It's nice to be important, but it's more important to be nice. All youth are perfect just the way they are. By the way, we think everyone else is equal too.

I don't know how you avenge the killing of youth by killing more youth. Obviously, you don't. Tit for tat, retaliation is just another definition of adult's "War Against Youth."

We believe the killing of any youth is illegal. I do not think there is a "U.N. Mandate" or "International Law" that says it's O.K. to kill one hundred percent innocent and perfect youth. I would not be surprised that somewhere in international law, it says that harming youth, any youth, is a war crime.

Ceasefire. What we think conflict situations requires is a "freeze" in the fighting. A complete cessation of all forms of violence in all the regions around a region, no matter who they are or where they are. A cease-fire and a cease-action of hostilities. Then the parties can decide if there will be a second step and, if so, what a second step would be. But there has to be "Peace First." That is the first step.

An immediate ceasefire, "military freeze," "peace zone," with NO other conditions or strings attached, is what we think is what is needed in all of today's violence conflicts. Why? So perfect youth involved in the conflict do not die every day. Full stop. All youth a treated equally in a ceasefire. But a ceasefire also means no more violence, bullying, abuse (VBA), detention, or relocations ANYWHERE in the entire conflict region. There is no contract and no other promises.

After a voluntary ceasefire? Nothing, something, conditions, talks, dialogue, diplomacy, truce, and our favorites ...kindness, equality, teamwork, equity, justice, rewind, compensation, demilitarization, and more peaceful voluntary acts. The same no matter who leads the nations.

IF nations want to impress anybody, then ceasefire NOW, everyone, everywhere.

If there is no ceasefire while there are "negotiations" and "political discussions," then these things are just another ruse and deception by adults to keep the "Youth vs. Youth War" going. We are not going to let diplomats fiddle while Rome burns

During a ceasefire, the parties can dig in all they want. What has been "dug-in" can be "dug-out." But once something is killed, it cannot be saved.

How are you going to hold anyone accountable if the crimes just keep piling up because of continued violence? You have to stop the bleeding before you try to heal the wounds. Live to "live" another day.

Ceasefire right now. Every place, everywhere. No conditions, agreements, talks, dialogue, diplomacy, or handshakes are needed. Just peace. No violence and no immediate/viable threat of violence. "Youth Peace" first. Everything else is a distant second.

If the politics, negotiations, etc. collapse, that O.K. as long as the **ceasefire** and "Youth Peace" doesn't collapse. Otherwise, there may never be a new and improved world order and direction.

Peace does not wait for politics or politicians. Don't put the cart in front of the horse. Ceasefire first, then politicians can take the time they need to sort out these things and get them right.

Quiet should be met with quiet.

Unless there is a **ceasefire** in a conflict, there is the possibility that **humanitarian** aid needs in the area will never wane. It would be like filling a bucket full of water while at the same time shooting holes in the bucket.

If there is a ceasefire, we all can stop growing the humanitarian crisis and work together to repair it. But we first need to stop the growth, or we may never be able to catch up in getting aid to people in need. The first thing you do when you find yourself in a hole is to stop digging.

Ceasefire first just in case discussions and negotiations take six months, one year, or another ten years.

A <u>ceasefire</u> does not mean you agree to give up one inch of land. But we need a <u>ceasefire</u> first to end violence in every inch of the land. These positions do not conflict. It's one way to get there from here.

With a **ceasefire**, there is always a chance that the fighting "will stop" permanently. That's the best option and what we should be shooting for. What the parties agree to get to that peace is up to them.

Hopefully, when there is a ceasefire, it will give other nations hope in peace, and then they will fight for peace. However, if violence continues throughout the world, other nations will lose hope in peace and will be less inclined to fight for it

I'm not one hundred percent sure that anyone military should leave a conflict area after a ceasefire. A stable "Youth Peace" situation should be realized first. Maybe forces there now might be preventing larger violence. Forces may be needed as peacekeepers, humanitarian aid assistance, etc.

Ceasefire now everywhere. No need for "negotiations," "agreements," "meetings," "conditions," "diplomacy," "talks," "documentation," and "understanding" for that. All sides just do it voluntarily.

Peace talks are not necessary or required, but a ceasefire is.

Ceasefire first "then" negotiations. Otherwise, you are just killing youth, not negotiating in good faith.

If a nation says it is a "security concern," then it is a security concern and should be discussed. There should be "uncompromised" talks now, not later. Everything "on the table. Nations should not "compromise" what other nations want to talk about their security. Nations should be able to bring their whole list. Not "half a loaf." But also negotiating under the veil of violence would doom talks from the "get-go." Good faith, fair, equal, discussions. Not "hostage negotiations." The peace "talk" has to match the peace "walk."

Our "principles" are the "basis" for any agreement. "immediate" and "viable" threats would "already" violate our "Peace Principle." Nations should not "compromise" talks by violating a potential new peace agreement by violating what might be in the potential agreement, and, more importantly, violating the basic "Peace Principle" before talks begin.

Trying to negotiate in good faith in the middle of an "immediate" and "viable" threat would be pointless because the situation violates any potential security agreement even before any talks start and are agreed to. We think peace talks would be more believable and successful in nations maintaining a more peaceful military position.

If adults talk while youth are being murdered, it's not about politics; it's just more adult "War Against Youth." Human-made problems have human solutions. But we can't fix youth death.

How can we "serve" a nation's youth if nations themselves don't serve their nations' youth?

You can't "conquer" peace.

Hopefully, one day no one will take the "Youth vs. Youth War" bait anymore. Especially youth.

We don't try to normalize relations between nations; we are just trying to normalize "Youth Peace."

We are always grateful to hear someone speaking often and honestly about peace. We need a lot more of that. It's shockingly rare when some talks about peace and even rarer when they mean it. No one can do it alone. "Youth Peace" volunteers wanted!

We wish to know more about all nations' peace plans and hope nations will do more to make their peace plans known. Some weeks go by when we do not hear any official utter the word "peace." Officials also need to be honest about what they "can" do and what they "can't" do for people.

"Talks" and action. Actually, you don't necessarily need the "talks," but you definitely need the action.

It would be wise, prudent, and smart for nations to discuss how to lessen the threat to each other's youth.

When we hear people make statements that, for some reason, they don't care about the youth here or there, we say. Unfortunately, our NGO must care because we believe all youth are equal. If someone pushes youth violence, we push back.

Call me optimistic; I think "Youth Peace" is definitely coming to the world. That puts what we are doing now on the winning side of history.

A more peaceful world is coming, but we need its youth to achieve well-being to ensure a better present and future for all esteemed U.N. Member States. If youth are traumatized and injured, it will be harder for them to help chart a more positive and peaceful course for future nations/states.

Every situation may need its own custom "Peace Plan," and two different but similar circumstances may not have "Peace Plans" that match. Even the tiniest detail could change a "Peace Plan" dramatically. These differences can and should be explained.

"Our way" is certainly not "the only way." Different plans work at different times and in different places. Each individual peace team in each situation must decide which "Peace Plans" to try and when. We consider the past, present, and future when we suggest a "Peace Plan." We aim to be one hundred percent fair without any double standards. We believe "All Youth Are Equal," so the end result of any of our proposed "Peace Plans" must hold up to that test. If you are in a house that is on fire, the fastest, safest exit out of the house might not be the entryway from which you entered the house. The priority is getting out of the burning house.

Some of the best plans our NGO has had got turned on its head when we tried to put them into practice with youth.

When we say "save the world," we really mean "save humanity." Not to infringe on anyone's beliefs, but our planet was probably around a long time before we humans showed up.

We also have a message for youth. Don't change one itty-bitty, teeny-tiny, itsy-bitsy bit. You are all one hundred percent "perfect," just the way you are right now and every minute before and after that. Nobody has to change unless they are doing unjustified violence, bullying or abuse (VBA). Yes, all youth are "equal," but our NGO then has to be "equitable." To us, that means our NGO must give extra attention to youth who "need" the extra attention the most. And it makes no difference what a youth looks like. It's only what is on the inside that matters. All the answers are inside a person. If there is a second message we would have for youth, it might be, "hang on, "Youth Peace" is coming."

The Ten Core Beliefs of our Youth Peace" Building Campaign.

All youth are "equal" in importance, meaning, value, and worth, without exception.

All youth's "destiny" is to live a long and healthy life.

All youth are "perfect" no matter who they are now or who they will be.

Violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) are the "Head of the Snake." This is the number one root "problem" of humankind.

We aim to "stay ahead of the curve," meaning violence and conflict "prevention."

Youth's no. one job is to "survive" their youth. It is adults' job to help them.

When youth get all the information, they usually make the right "choices."

To truly "protect" and save "any youth," we must protect and save "all youth," or youth will always be "fair game."

"Youth Peace" is one of the best "steppingstones" to "World Peace."

Peace is the lynchpin to human "advancement," human happiness, and human survival.

III. Individual Identity/Free Will-True Choice/Good Principles

Every human has an "Internal Induvial Identity (iii)" that is good, equal, unique and one of a kind, and the last of their kind. That is who people rare. Your soul, your spirit, your essence, your being. Your "Internal Induvial Identity (iii)" is who you really and not what is on your outside. Your "Internal Induvial Identity (iii)" is so powerful it gives you "free will." Humans' greatest gift is their "free will."

Each youth is already empowered with a personal, unique, individual, one-of-a-kind identity. Their identity is their soul, their spirit, their essence. There was never a person like them before, and there will never be another person like them again. Their individual identity was not given to youth by adults; it was given to them by Greater Forces, God, Nature, or both depending on your beliefs. This personal identity we believe gives every youth superpowers, and it can prevent them from not only doing violence but help them navigate thought-out their life.

Your internal "Internal Induvial Identity (iii)" is where a person's power truly lies, and that power is unlimited. That is who they really are. Not their body or their outsides/appearance. You can try to get your outside to reflect your (iii), but it's not your (iii). All (iii) are good and equal. No one's (iii) has any special view, position, perch, vantage point, or angle to say differently.

Using your "free will," being able to make "true choices," is what makes humans the best.

Our NGO also believes in human "free will" and "true choice." That individuals need all the information to make a "true choice." When youth have all the information, they almost always make the right choice. In an extraordinary situation, one person can destroy the world or save it.

With our "free will," we humans have to prove that we control our destiny and not our genetics, environment, or anything else. That's the way it should be. We, humans, do control our own destiny and should control our own destiny. "Free will," we believe, is the ultimate gift given to humans. We should not waste it. Not using our "free will" or trying to take away someone's "free will" may not be looked upon admirably by the Greater Forces that gave it to us. "Free will" is given out very, very rarely. At the moment, humans are the only life form we know of in the universe that has it. If we want to go high, highest, highest in the world, we each need to go deep, deeper, and deepest without ourselves. That, to us, is very much a worthwhile life.

What our NGO believes in more than a "rules-based order" is a "principles-based order." These are the Good Principles of Humanity, "Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality (PLLFE)," and the correct application of them. Equality is the Super Principle because it stands alone and also connects the rest of our good principles. We believe "Human Rights" and "Principles" are one and the same. We also believe in practicing truth, fairness, and honesty to keep our principles sharp and make sure they mean what they are supposed to mean. Peace is where we start. "Extreme violence" kills all our principles in one fell swoop.

The fight for the Good Principles of Humanity, "Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality (PLLFE)," against violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) is a fight between good and evil.

Peace is the gateway to the rest of the Good Principles of Humanity, "Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality (PLLFE)."

The solution to us is simple. Peace. Not peace at any price, not appeasement, not unconditional pacifism, but peace along with the other Good Principles of Humanity, "Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality (PLLFE)" and the correct application of these principles where no principle is sacrificed, marginalized, or becomes unequal, compared to any another principle. Equality is the Super Principle. We believe that is morality, but one must be moral to become moral. These Good Principles of Humanity, we believe, derive and originate from families.

We believe "doing good" is the best "feel good" there is.

The best way to change the world is to be your good self.

Every day we humans are alive is truly a gift, and not one single day of our existence should be taken for granted. Humans were not put on this earth to suffer.

Evil to our NGO is the "upside-down principles," greed, lust, hate, violence, bullying, and abuse. Simply put, all our "upside-down principles" can be summed up in just two words "extreme selfishness." Money is not the root of evil in the world, "selfishness" is. Unjustified violence is the most selfish a person can be.

Every situation is different and may require an ongoing, ever-changing solution, but the Good Principles of Humanity (PLLFE) don't change.

"Moral battles," not "media" or "political battles," is what interests us. The only "war" we are fighting is the "War Against Youth."

Morality isn't multiple-choice. It's all or nothing because all youth and all people are equal. To believe that all people are not equal is the ultimate double standard.

There may be an argument that could be made that if you are fighting but you not fighting for the Good Principles of Humanity, "Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality (PLLFE), then you are fighting for nothing but selflessness. And selfishness is the "Failed Principle."

Humans showing their humanity is the fun part. We are trying to practice what we preach, with no double standards, not just pulling out all the stops.

Parties should take their time on any new peace agreement and get it right. The standard is "excellence." But the universe shows us that sometimes a window is open, and sometimes windows are closed. We should heed this lesson here on earth and make sure we take opportunities when they present themselves. We strongly believe this. There is no such thing as a "guarantee" or a "human guarantee." Fortunately. This is because humans were divinely gifted with "free will" and "true choice," and we can do what we want to do when we want to it. Our NGO feels a great sense of pride in exercising our "free will." It means we are not slaves, pawns, or puppets to anyone or anything. That is what we think makes us humans human and why we stand out. If people do not understand or respect that, then we believe it is their flaw.

There is no such thing as a human guarantee. Fortunately. The greatest gift to humans is "free will." That's what makes us special. We can do what we want when we want. A nation asking for this is something no one can give.

There does not have to be a peace "security guarantee" in peace agreements for the simple reason that we do not think that it would be a realistic agreement. As long as we process "free will," no one will ever be able to guarantee any human behavior now or in the future.

"Guarantees" is an un-winnable battle no matter who agrees to it, and there is truly no successful way to offset it. Advancing and progressing in a positive direction to get to a point where you won't "need" a "guarantee" is one plan of action.

As long as humans process God's greatest gift of "free will," there can never really be such a thing as a human behavior "guarantee." That's actually a good thing. Any/all agreements must still be implemented "voluntarily." No one can guarantee a guarantee. Having said that, you can maybe front-load provisions.

"Durable commitments, "safeguards" or booby traps may help to minimize this "free will" reality. People might think twice about breaking or altering agreements if it is not risk-free or penalty-free. But it's a bit disingenuous to give a "guarantee" because you are giving something you really cannot give.

Since on the subject of "security guarantees," if they exist, we would like to request a "security guarantee" for all the youth in Ukraine and Russia that if adults continue to disagree, that youth won't pay the price. Regardless, youth twenty-six years of age or younger is the first protected class.

Guarantees absolutely should also not be designed or written to make sure not to drag any other nation's youth into their mess. That alone would be one of the most heinous. Nations need to stand on their own two feet and stand for peace.

Speaking for our NGO, we will only guarantee that we will fight unjustified violence no matter who does it. And the threat of violence is violence. We also guarantee to follow the Good Principles of Humanity, Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality (PLLFE) in belief and practice.

One of the best "security guarantees" Nations can give themselves is just being "peaceful." Doing violence to others brings violence to you. All forms of violence are also violence "magnets." They attract violence as well as they do violence. We fight unjustified violence.

We believe in volunteerism. You can't make anyone do what they don't want to do, and you shouldn't even try.

A good peace team are the ones that take the necessary steps voluntarily. Unless it's "voluntarily," it's possible it could then be violence, bullying, or abuse (VBA).

We do not have to surrender to our instinct for violence. That's what our "free will" is best at, survival. But it must be voluntary, like everything else.

Friendship and more can only be achieved on a mutual basis, "voluntarily" or its violence, bullying, or abuse.

Maybe a peace agreement is just too much trouble right now because of all the attention and pressure. No worries. They can put the agreement on hold and can still be a peace team by just taking the necessary steps voluntarily. Take turns. Even we adults need to take turns. Lots of good peace plans for any situation.

If something needs to change, it's a whole lot easier when people do it voluntarily because it's in their best interest. Voluntary is best.

Perhaps the best "Peace Skill" is getting people to stop doing violence totally voluntarily. When you work as a team, it's not as hard as you think.

"True choice" means you have all the information and can decide without fear or favor. That you can make a choice "voluntarily."

What's more important than what other people think is what you think. Not to split hairs, but some one else can "represent" you, but nobody can really "speak" for you. It's technically not possible.

There are problems. I get it. But no reason to blow a hole in the earth. In any case, foolish or false pride, in our opinion, is pride that is not in line with our Good Principles. If you are not in line with the Good Principles of Humanity, that's nothing to be proud of. People should have "pride" when they follow the Good Principles of Humanity. You don't necessarily need to believe the Good Principles; you just need to follow them. You can fake it till you feel it. Foolish or false pride is not a justifiable reason for violence in any way, shape, or form. I suppose "foolish pride" is just selfishness. State leaders should govern for "national" reasons, not "personal" reasons. I'm not one hundred percent sure "national" governing decisions are what is happening in all nations of the world.

Anyone can believe what they want to believe, and it is nobody else's business. But we someone, anyone, does unjustified violence, then it is everyone's business because then it strikes at the root of human survival.

"Scale" might be the winner in the universe, but we should try to improve things on our "scale," which is within our reach. My guess is that the whole universe knows the importance of youth. Some say there are two "trillion" galaxies in our observable universe. Still, one study from physicists at the University of Oxford in England says there may be thirty "quintillion" galaxies in the unobservable universe. We don't

want to be the only planet in one of those universes "not" trying to survive. Right now, I believe humanity "is closer to "destroying" humanity than we are to "saving" it.

IV. Violence, Bullying, and Abuse (VBA)

It is one of our core beliefs that violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) is the "Head of the Snake." That this is the number one root problem of human advancement, human happiness, and human survival. Although we always practice equality and kindness, we have no sympathy and give no moral quarter to those who do unjustified violence.

All parties seem to have their own "red line." Our NGO does too. Our "red line" is when somebody, anybody, does violence, bullying, or abuse (VBA). We believe this is the "Head of the Snake" and the number root cause of all human-made problems. We think VBA is even worse than the climate crisis, the environment, sustainability and the six great mass existence of species on the is planet. The is because we think these things are us being self-destructive and we believe self-destruction in s at the core of all violence.

The World Food Programme has said many times that violent conflict is the number one driver of hunger. This week they also said if the is no food security, there is no security. We think the best and fastest way to reduce hunger and famine worldwide is to tackle violence/conflict first. In other words, "Peace First," and everything else second. We have to chase hunger, but we have to chase peace more.

In addition, there are almost 1 billion people who "go to bed hungry every night," and three billion people are "locked out of healthy diets." Nine million people "died of hunger" in 2020, and one hundred and sixty-one million more people fell into the "hunger trap" in 2020.

UNHCR, the UN Refugee Agency, also said in 2022, "If lasting peace were achieved in a few key locations, global refugee figures could halve."

The world can ill afford any more slippage in violent conflicts. Violent conflict is the biggest driver of hunger and malnutrition, and refugees. So, we consider conflict "The Head of the Snake" in the areas of human well-being, human happiness, and human survival.

The threat of violence can sometimes be worse than violence itself.

Something can be a "form of violence" without being an actual "physical violent act." The threat and fear of violence are very powerful and, in some cases, worse than a "physical violent act" itself. So, an "immediate" and "viable" threat" "is" very much "violence." And it's not "where" the military threat is. Physical location is not the standard. This form of violence standard of threats is only if is it an "immediate" and "viable" threat regardless of "where" it is.

The opposite of peace is violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) and the "immediate" or "viable" threat of them.

Violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) is not politics. But they are the biggest threat to our Good Principles. It's not so easy to oppress people without them.

The definition of bullying to us is when a person's natural gift of "free will" and "true choice" on fundamental and essential issues are comprised or denied. Much of what we see in the world today is "bullying." And bullying is not the correct response to bullying. One needs to be careful not to fall into

this bullying trap. Many bullies were once victims of bullying themselves. You are also more likely to be a victim of violence or be bullied if you do it to someone else.

Superior strength can often lead to superior bullying. Superiority and bullying can often be related. For we believe unjustified violence destroys all our "Good Principles of Humanity" in one fell swoop. Once you crack the code, violence is not very hard to understand. Violence only has one language and only one thing to say, "very, very bad pain." When you cross the "Youth Peace" red line, there are no more red lines to cross. You have crossed them all. If you do violence against youth, there is nothing else immoral you won't do. "Youth Peace" is not the basket you want to be cherry-picking on.

No one should change if they don't want to just because they are being bullied. Our message to youth is "don't change" if you don't want to. You are perfect just the way you are.

All violence, at its core, is self-destructive. Most people hurt themselves rather than get hurt by others. Self-harm is at the center of all violence.

I think human's great flaw is self-destruction. The power of "free will" and "true choice" is so great and so cherished that people would rather self-destruct or put themselves at unnecessary risk to fully protect their "free will" and "true choice." It also shows them and anybody else watching that under all circumstances that they cannot and will not be bullied. That is the power given to them with "free will" and "true choice," and they ain't giving it up for nobody, nor should they. Once you lived as a free human, it's darn near impossible to go back. Many people bent on self-destruction would gladly take then the whole world down with them. It might be said that man is at war with others because he is at war with himself.

We hope all unjustified violence gets "push back" no matter where it is, but we hope "getting" or "not getting" "push back" is not the standard or the deciding factor on whether someone "should" or "should not do" unjustified violence. They should not do it because it's wrong on every level. Often it is not that people in the world "don't care" about unjustified violence. It's just sometimes, people can be horribly trapped in being in their own world and in their own "survival mode," making it hard to help others directly. They are constrained by their own reality and their own struggles.

Nothing man-made can compete with the primal force of violence.

No one is immune from violence.

Bullying against minorities or the minority has been common everywhere though out time. One of the top bullying factors.

We might have needed our violence instinct to survive in the past, but now we need to control it if we are to survive now.

No one who fights for the Good Principles of Humanity dies in vain, but many die unnecessarily.

Our "red line" or "line in the sand" is fixed, steady, and stable. It's where the Good Principles of Humanity, "Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality (PLLFE)," are violated collectively or individually, in method or practice by anyone or any group. If someone is not sure where these lines are, don't be afraid to ask. It's better to ask permission or clarification and not create a problem by hurting someone than to apologize or ask for forgiveness later. In a great many cases apologizing cannot fix the problem. Apologies can help a lot, but they often can't fix. Also, it is not peace at any price; it's peace also in total

alignment with the other Good Principles of Humanity. Violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) should not be appeared or tolerated it should be fought and stopped.

Our NGO is far from perfect. It would be fair to question why our NGO is focused just on "some" youth while other youth in the world are suffering. We work equally hard to help U.S., Russian, and Chinese "Perfect Youth" as much as any other youth in the world. Even stateless youth. Although all youth are equal, we also believe in equity/fairness, meaning we try to give special attention to "the worst first." We have to take first things first.

We try to apply pressure to the worst violent wound first. Still, we are also trying to plant "Youth Peace" seeds along the way.

We don't know if there will be "any" substantial peace progress in the world until the worst conflict situations are resolved peacefully. We also fear there might also be some "Youth Peace" "slippage" elsewhere are a result of continuous violence and high conflict area. So, in both cases, the sooner there is peace in the most dangerous places on earth, the better for youth worldwide.

Don't judge our NGO as being too "judgy." We only draw the line at violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA). Those are the things that stop our good principles from coming to fruition.

And what is always on our mind, "violence," is an extremely powerful, primal, genetic, natural force. It is an addiction, and there is no earthly substitute if addicted. However, what is even more powerful is our "instinct for morality and peace" and our "instinct for survival." Those over time, "beat the violence instinct" over and over again. That is the reason why all us humans are still alive today.

So, one of the definitions we have of a "warmonger" could be an individual who not only hates youth but gets "very intense and deep personal satisfaction" from sick violence. And the more sadistic and sicker the violence, the deeper sick satisfaction they get and the more of it they need. But again, the hole can never be filled. It's never enough. We believe warmongers are some of human history's oldest addicts. They can't stop, and they don't want to stop. They enjoy it. A lot. For violence-loving people, that is the only reason they have and the only reason they think they need. It has been our experience that when these violent people who do repeated violence offer any other reason for their violence, it is very hard to believe them. And they often look for a position that emanates from a position where there is the biggest supply. They may try to cover it up by saying their "blood lust" or "thrill kill" is their "job" or it's not "personal," but we believe both are untrue. Our violence instinct is much more deep-seated. *Problems, in general, we believe, always seem to be deeper than they appear on the surface. Much deeper.*

But warmongers are always weighing their options on what is too much violence, for the last thing they want is to be stopped from doing any violence at all. That's a worst-case scenario for them. Warmongers are bullying and violent people at the extreme. They like to sit back in safety and watch other people do it. So, generally speaking, warmongers need an intervention. And the way some nations' governmental and governing practices are set up with one man in control, one man's poison can kill a lot of people. One leader's violent action often spread like wildfire to those on the fringe. If some leaders in today's world want to deny this obsession, I would say all evidence to the contrary.

They are trapped in a primal thrill and pleasure behavior loop, and they don't want to give it up. Unfortunately, as with all the Bad Principles of Humanity, violence can never be satisfied, it's a bottomless pit, and you have to keep feeding your primal violence instinct beast. It's like a drug. Once you let the "violence genie" out of the bottle is hard to put it back in. It does not want to go back in. That

goes for not just individuals but for societies and the general population too. But the "violence genie" has to go back into the bottle.

People who do unjustified violence want others to do unjustified violence too. We can't be puppets. When unjustified violence starts, it's a vicious cycle that is self-perpetuating. Violence almost always makes any situation worse. Violence is not just an ideology; it's much deeper than that. It is a powerful instinctual, primal force in a great many species, not just humans.

Nothing worst than "violence" hurts the food chain more or anything else for that matter. Disrupting the world's food supply is just another of the seemingly endless "extreme acts of violence" against humans. That's why people do. And when you are addicted to violence, there is no substitute. Like many people who get addicted to violence, people will not stop with their overall violence unless someone else or something else stops them. And what would stop him the best is a "team." The bigger and better the team against anyone's youth violence is, the quicker the team can succeed. To our dismay, we again have to read in the media so much about specific military equipment and weapons. It's awful because no matter "who" shoots "what," it kills youth.

Violence is the great eraser of great things.

Our NGO believes "gun violence" is a curse on America's youth and is the biggest problem not only for youth in America but for the entire nation.

The U.S. is at war with its own youth with the prevalence of guns. From the evidence we have seen, we believe that guns are anti-youth. So, our NGO says, "Kill The Guns."

Our NGO does not like guns because guns don't like youth. The epidemic of gun violence among youth, we believe, is the U.S.'s biggest problem of all. Guns kill youth immediately. What's worse than that? We believe the prevalence of guns among youth in the U.S. and elsewhere is just part of adults' "War Against Youth." Guns can be pointed indiscriminately, but it seems much too often they find youth. And nothing attracts a gun more than another gun.

Gun laws are one thing, but more importantly, youth need all the information on guns. If they get this, the vast majority will voluntarily avoid guns like the plague. Guns may not be weapons of mass destruction, but they are most definitely weapons of self-destruction. There is no law that says you have to have a gun. Humans are mostly water, so guns are really not doing anything special.

If there is new gun legislation, the focus should be on the gun proliferators, the adults. That would be closer to the core of the youth gun violence problem. Guns are man-made, so we can create the rules that govern them. And as soon as you touch a gun, Zap! Hundreds of gun laws just kicked in for you too. Youth should not get the main blame, burden, and justice. Youth are the biggest victims of gun violence in more ways than one.

And unfortunately, it is the gun itself that turns a good guy into a bad guy. Why? Because of what that guy does with that gun.

I would sincerely thank any nation or anyone that can help the U.S. fight the plague of youth gun violence.

I understand we are at war with terrorism forever. We must always be proactive. But we believe the best offense against terrorism is to free the youth from terrorist groups. After this is done, let's then see how "terroristic" these groups are.

One of the best ways to stop violent terrorism is to free youth from terrorist groups. We think, more than anything, that would substantially reduce the amount of violent terrorism not just in one nation but the whole world.

One or more States should try to "reach out" to violent terrorists. Violent terrorists need to stop being violent terrorists voluntarily. Having violent people be peaceful, voluntarily, we believe, is the best way. But some State needs to talk to these violent terrorists and let them know what is going on. A "Youth Peace Revolution" is happening. Probably one of the few non-violent revolutions on this planet.

Violent terrorists must be stopped immediately, but violent terrorists are not members of the U.N. Member States and should be held to a higher standard of practice. A standard they are failing to meet. An eye for an eye not only makes the world blind, but it also shows that the world is already blind.

Trying to think this all the way through, we see very, very few chances of positive outcomes for the continued attack of large areas to get to the terrorist. We must fight to stop violent terrorists. But not like that. Violent terrorists in must stop being violent. They must stop the truck, car, and motorcycle bombings, kidnappings, executions, imprisonments, and attacks and remove their IEDs and land mines. The violent terrorist must free their youth from violence.

We have to free youth imprisoned, enslaved, and manipulated by violent terrorists to do their unjustified violence for their violent terrorist group.

When you are fighting violent terrorists, be sure you don't become a violent terrorist. We absolutely must be proactive and fight all forms of terrorism. But there are other ways to fight violent terrorism.

Maybe the position of some nations is that everyone is a violent terrorist but "me" when I do violence is a fallacy.

I don't think one person guilty of brutal unjustified violence, like a violent terrorist, is worth the life of one youth. The violent person must be punished—no one else.

In addressing the violent terrorists, it's really not about the support they get; it's about "free will," saving youth, saving themselves, and saving the world. They can give the money to humanitarian aid organizations, they can keep the moral support, and to the weapons offered to them, they can say "no thank you." With all the extensive foreign support people claim the violent terrorists are getting, some of these supporters should be able to talk to the violent terrorist about "Peace First," "Youth Peace First," and world peace. Our NGO cannot and will not be in contact with any terrorist or terrorist group. But States can. So, the ball is in the States court to try to reach and curtail violent terrorist groups' violent activities.

We should get these violent terrorists to turn the "Youth Peace" corner faster. They are still creating "end of the world" moments all over the world. Peace is the one thing we must ensure trickles down to every youth's individual world full-time, not part-time or most of the time. If peace doesn't reach every youth, we are not successful or achieving "excellence." No amount of media spin will change that.

But violent terrorists need to do it voluntarily, and they need to do it now. No matter who is supporting them, they need to listen to themselves, not anyone else. We all need to set the table so this happens. The violent terrorists might try to pigeonhole their fight," but the reality is unjustified violence is unjustified violence no matter what other name you might associate it with. And nobody who does a single act of unjustified violence is a "moderate." But I believe it's possible that some of the unjustified violence in regions today, especially when individuals are targeted, maybe more lawlessness and heinous criminality rather than just "terrorism."

When terrorists are attacked, I will venture to say that it is possible that the attacker does even know the names and identities of the people injured or killed or even who exactly perpetrated the previous violent acts. The only message our NGO can discern from bombings is that violence begets violence.

Of course, violent terrorists are using human shields; they're violent terrorists, doesn't mean we have to be one. We have to free human shields, not kill them, while still protecting/defending our youth. If someone says it can't be done, it probably means they don't want it done.

If terrorists don't want to talk peace, what can I say is peace has got to be voluntary, and everyone has "free will." But if terrorists want to continue the fight anywhere, they are going to have to first release their youth, unarmed, ages 30 or under, to aid organizations. From now on, terrorists are going to have to recruit people their own age. Let's see how that goes. Peace and the rest of our Good Principles with equity and teamwork, we believe, is a better way. Teamwork makes the dream work.

Terrorists kill our youth, so we will kill their youth. What terrorists and other violence-loving adults want is a never-ending "Youth vs. Youth War." That fulfills them. We have to stop the "Youth vs. Youth Wars," or the terrorists will win.

Terrorists want us to fight forever. That's how they win. They kill our youth and get us to kill their youth for them to motivate their side. Defense is mandatory. Always fight for justice but deploy "Peace Skills" too to save youth and the rest of humanity. Terrorism is not an excuse for your lack of "Peace Skills." Men still doing it wrong.

Nations need to "reach out" to the terrorists. Yes, there are people who are crazy, do crazy things, and are in crazy situations. But my life experience with people can be summed up in one sentence. Most people are normal. Maybe trying this positive peace attitude can get results.

Some nations are fueling long-term terrorism with their state terrorism. It's not deterrence unless you push peace and your defenses at the same time. Leaders must act and lead in peace, or leaders will never become a trusted world partner, only a political celebrity.

If we let terrorists continue to kidnap youth to do their fighting and doom the rest of us to fighting forever, then the terrorist really win. Freeing youth from terrorists is priority one in fighting terrorism. "Youth Peace."

We have to "reach" those violent terrorists too. *Peace needs to be voluntary*. Peace is always possible. Otherwise, we will empower these terrorists forever and be doomed to violence forever. To be honest, we think "terrorism" is just another coverup for adults to do youth violence.

When you militarize a nation or region, it often lowers the standard of living of the population of the people there. This creates disaffected youth and prime recruiting grounds for violent terrorists. This does not include the youth who are kidnapped/enslaved. Demilitarize.

Nations need to free youth from terrorist organizations, not kill them.

Freeing youth from terrorist organizations had to be one of the very top priorities in fighting unjustified violence and achieving world peace

Nothing fuels unjustified violence more than unjustified violence. Nothing breeds unjustified violence more than unjustified violence. Some sovereign nations alone have done more to create terrorism than all the other terrorists in the world.

"State-sponsored" and "state-implemented" terrorism is still "terrorism." Many "terrorists" hide behind a "sovereign state."

Youth are not terrorists. Youth are only responsible for what they individually do voluntarily of their "free will." And ala Dr. Martin Luther King, unjustified violence against youth anywhere is a threat to youth everywhere.

When you treat youth with respect, you could possibly prevent thousands of future potential terrorist attacks. "Youth Peace First" All youth.

Most people are already voluntarily peaceful, so we also hope to make that more evident and bring that to the forefront.

So far, there may have been too much focus on dialogue and not enough focus on positive voluntary actions each party can take on its own. We understand that all want a comprehensive agreement, but if that is not possible right now, there still needs to be immediate and concrete steps toward peace.

We aim to "stay ahead of the curve" with VBA, which simply means violence and conflict "prevention."

Prevention is the new cure.

Nothing to lose? No matter how bad things are, things could always be worse. Tomorrow is another day. One day can and often does make all the difference. We sweat the small stuff in work but not in life.

Violence has both a boomerang and domino effect. But we believe peace does have a domino effect too. You both might think there is "no going back" now from the violence you have done, but you can. It might be as simple as just learning one or two "Peace Skills."

If it is a nation's policy to bully people into being deaf, dumb, blind, and mentally impaired on violence, bullying, and abuse, I can assure them this possible tactic will not be effective on everyone.

Everything about fighting violence is inside-out. "Internal Induvial Identity (iii)," out. Family/Close Units, out.

Ninety-nine percent of all violence we believe is "unjustified," not real self-defense.

There is only one brand of unjustified violence. The kind that hurts, maims, and destroys.

We believe people do violence to feel good, better, best. For selfish reasons. We need to show all youth that morality feels better than violence.

And no, you cannot put your troops anywhere you want within your border. If your military poses an "immediate" and "viable" threat to anyone, no matter where they are, they need to back away. No one owns this planet; its Teutonic plates, its continental shifts, the earl crust, and its invisible lines on top of them we call "borders." These are invisible lines today that some may think will last forever, but I think not. And I have a lot of history to back me up.

Whether it's violence against any youth "extreme violence" is a fight for "all" our lives. Every one of us. The more people that "fight" evil, the better the chance of "defeating" evil. If evil wins or is winning, humanity is doomed to endless war and endless violence, ensuring human suffering, unhappiness, and a very short life span for us humans as a species. That is not a future we wish for ourselves and humanity. Our extinction would be a waste of our unique and one-of-a-kind beings.

Self-defense is a natural and God-given right. We think self-defense is mandatory. You must survive. We want everyone to survive because we need everybody. However, it seems whenever some world leaders speak of self-defense, their first and only go-to-move is to kill youth.

I know in this "bully or be bullied" leadership style now running the world, peace might be seen as a weakness. But without pushing peace, you are left with an endless arms race and what people falsely believe is a good reason to use their weapons because of the "unknowns" about their adversaries, whether this "unknown" situation was purposely created or not. You have to "push peace" as well as build your defenses, or you will never really "know" what's going on. And the "unknown" can be a very, very scary thing. Peace may also not play well in elections, but at least with peace, there will be a least one more election. The hyphenated equation we speak of is human peace = human survival.

We believe in the "Double-Sided Coin of Deterrence." Peace through Strength and Strength through Peace. Unless you push both equally hard, you will not know who to fight, when to fight or how to fight, or even if you have to fight at all.

Deterrence is a double-sided coin. Unless peace is pushed as hard as defense, it will not be the best deterrence possible. We would never suggest or recommend that anyone should stop building, developing, and perfecting their self-defense. We don't know what we don't know. Or maybe it is not just what we don't know or what we don't know, what we don't know, but it's what we think we know that we really don't know.

We don't think self-defense is optional but is mandatory. Everyone must survive. We need everyone. Everyone needs to fight and win. That is why silencing just one person through violence/assassinations, kidnapping, detainment, or imprisonment really just hurts ourselves the most. Silencing anyone when we will need everyone reduces our chances of survival that bit more. Nobody knows what we will need, from who, how many, and when. We need to be prepared for anything. Peace begins with families/close units and ends with families/close units. They are the ultimate acid test for peace. When you break up a family/close unit through violence, imprisonment, detainment, or any other means, you are destroying the very core of peace. All peace is local.

That is so counterproductive. All the thousands of thoughts and ideas of these people are greatly needed in the world. I hope all one million of these imprisoned souls are released from confinement as soon as possible for all our sake.

Imprisoned, detained, and missing is a huge part of "Youth Peace" since many were imprisoned, detained, or went missing when they were youth.

Kidnapping, detainment, abductions, disappearances, and imprisonments are all violence. All our NGO does is fight unjustified violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA), no matter who does it or where it happens.

That's why silencing one journalist, writer, or anybody, hurts our survival chances. One person or one group cannot think of everything. In a way, it is double bad since people are often imprisoned for original thinking.

The solution to violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) to us is simple. Peace. Not peace at any price, not appearement, not unconditional pacifism, but peace along with the other Good Principles of Humanity, "Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality," and the correct application of these principles where no principle is sacrificed, marginalized, or becomes unequal, compared to any another principle. We believe that is morality, but one must be moral to become moral.

V. Nations/States

We have communicated with all U.N. Missions regarding many different areas and regions of the world, wherever there is violence, conflict, or the threat of violence. In general, concerning U.N. Member States, we try to share all our opinions and information with everybody. We are a friend of all U.N. Member States because of our Consultative Status, and we are a friend of all the world's youth because of our purpose.

Our NGO does not want to fight nations; we want to help nations. But the rest of the world, including us peaceful people, can just stand by and watch one man, no matter who it is, violate all our principles. Especially when our particular group believes that "Peace is the First Principle of Everything" and "Peace First." We wouldn't be much of a team ourselves if we let that happen. So, we hope nations can create the conditions where we and others like us can fully support their nation. The are many who believe that despite our nationalities, ethnicities, etc., that we are all one people. You may be smart, but you are not smarter without us. In our humble opinion, nations need a youth rejuvenation and a moral upgrade. That's where we think government's attention should be directed. Violence is an unbelievably strong primal instinct, but "free will" is stronger.

We are trying to help all U.N. Member States, not hurt any of them. For whatever reason you think we are doing this, I can tell you right now it's for peace. Not peace at any price, but peace along with the rest of the Good Principles of Humanity (PLLFE). And it starts with stop killing youth. This is our message to all 193 U.N. Member States. Who are we trying to protect? Youth. We are trying to help States win the peace.

We take nations as they are according to the specifications our NGO agreed to. But either way, we don't give a hoot about politics when compared to our priority which is a "peaceful solution." That's why it's good for governments and civil society to work together. Different views on the same subject. Civil society has an important role to play in the world and should be respected. U.N. Member States know that and have shown that.

Our NGO is not involved in the topics of leadership changes, political changes, constitutional changes, etc. That is not our NGO's role. Our NGO, being a part of civil society, does not get a vote on who is a U.N. Member or not. We serve the U.N. Member States as is. We are not waiting for leadership changes; we are waiting for peace.

Our NGO does not like "sanctions" or "unilateral coercive measures." That is because we believe it is youth who suffer the most from these actions. Positive improvement rarely trickles down to a youth's world, but punishments have a direct, uninterrupted line to youth. Our NGO does not support any more sanctions on any nation. We believe there should be some adjustments, including possibly some loosening of some of the sanctions against some nations already in place due to their negative humanitarian effect on some nations' youth. Youth suffering is what is happening now. Youth suffering is what we want to end. That is the goal. Not just in one nation or region but anywhere.

And using sanctions and cynically waiting for, or fomenting, an uprising, a revolution, a coup, or a regime change is only viewed by our NGO as just another "cover-up" by adults to create more endless and horrific youth vs. youth violence. Why? Because, more often than not, national and political violence, like all many other forms of violence, usually means violence against youth.

Regarding sanctions, our NGO does not like sanctions. Sanctions inevitably damage and hurt youth. Despite this, I still do not understand why any nation, or anyone, would prioritize the "sanctioning" of youth over the "death" of youth. One is obviously much worse than the another. We adults must correctly assess youths' needs and deal with "the worst, first." This is consistent with our NGO's "Peace First" philosophy. And if all youth are being treated equally in our plan, then our NGO is not applying a double standard.

Some nations play it fast and loose with the word "sovereignty." The way they throw this word around, and the way they say it, makes our NGO actually hear "personal foolish pride or false pride" instead when they say the word "sovereignty." Some officials use "sovereignty" as if it was carte blanche to do whatever they want. It doesn't. "Sovereignty" is man-made, meaning it cannot give anybody permission to overrule what is truly important in life. The most important things in life are not things. The same parties do not seem terribly upset about the lack of other nations' sovereignty. Humans may be naturally territorial but once again, "sovereignty" is man-made.

I am not saying what human make is not important, it is important especially to us human, but it's not remotely important when compared to the rest of existence in this universe or other possible universes

Our NGO has a "One Youth" policy. It covers 1.8 billion youth. All youth are "sovereign" in every meaning of the word. And in my opinion, a single youth's "sovereignty" is infinitely more important than a single nation's "sovereignty." You must save an individual youth to save all youth. And vice versa. If just one youth is excluded, it does not, and cannot, work. Youth have their own "sovereignty" which is infinitely superior to anything man-made. People often assume that youth need to change. I assume that they don't. If there is a youth discrepancy, we wait to raise the standard of living for the youth with the lowest standard of living, not to reduce the youth with the highest standard of living to the level of the lower standard of living. We are not "anti" any nation; we are "pro" principles. That is where our energy lies.

Less peace is not the direction U.N. Member States should want to go in. Less peace is what has ended many States throughout time. These statements are not directed at any particular State. Every situation is different but what every conflict has in common is that, in each case, there are thousands of paths to peace without sacrificing any sovereignty or dignity. Man-made problems have man-made solutions. I know we would never ask a State to give up any sovereignty or dignity because it is totally unnecessary. What is one hundred percent necessary is that the State be peaceful.

Nothing is more "sovereign" than youth. Certainly not "territory." Land does not have a "heartbeat." I would visit any place in the world to show that ALL youth are equal.

Multi national's meetings should be more about "Youth Peace" and preventing youth suffering than about borders, sovereignty, diplomacy, documents, laws, all man-made stuff, etc. Why? We believe "Youth Peace" is one of the best paths to world peace, teamwork, advancement, happiness, and survival.

The broken record our NGO hears every day is that what man makes is on the same level as what Greater Forces makes. It's not. They are as distant as the multiverse in importance. And, of course, anything a man "says" is man-made. We help nations and sovereignties, but most of them don't stand the test of time.

We will respect a nation's sovereignty one minute after "Youth Peace" in all its definitions is firmly established. Not one second before. Man-made things don't compare to things that are made by Greater Forces. Sovereignty without "Youth Peace" will always be a losing argument in all arenas.

As long as nations reject military aggression, we peaceful people will respect any nation's border and sovereignty. But if they do not reject military aggression, we will not. It's a matter of fighting for our Principles, Greater Forces, and human survival

No double standards. It's "Peace First" everywhere. No contest between peace and sovereignty.

Some nations had lots of sovereignty before and during violent conflicts, and they did not on their own bring peace. So obviously, sovereignty "alone" is not the solution to peace.

No one has "sovereignty" to kill youth.

Humans might be instinctually territorial, like we might be instinctual explorers, but let us not forget, in addition, we also have the instinct to survive. They can and should all go hand in hand. There have been many entities throughout history that have claimed sovereignty over the same land. My guess is that they all thought they were going to be the very last ones to rule the land. That their "sovereignty" was going to be the final "sovereignty." According to a hypothesis, the earth's seven continents themselves may be drifting and may not be permanent in size, number, and location, so in this case, how could the invisible lines we draw on the surface of the continents be?

The world needs diplomats to help with "Youth Peace" in every corner of the world. And in space too. But often, nations do not give their diplomats "anything positive" to work with. Must use their honorable diplomats around the world to achieve much, much better goals to utilize their talents. To us, the violent conflict is about "peace," not "diplomacy."

Peace is the solution, not just diplomacy. If diplomacy works great, but if not, there are still thousands of other ways to achieve peace in any violent conflict. "Dialogue" is not the first step in a conflict; voluntary peaceful actions/gestures are. "Dialogue" is for adults; peaceful actions are for everyone.

We think talks and diplomacy are super great. But we do not believe there has to be a diplomatic solution or a political solution to conflicts, but there must be a "peace solution." Peaceful ends through peaceful means.

Officials and ambassadors are given precious, considerable, but finite oxygen. They should use the vast majority of it to grow progress from the ground up where it is needed most and not use this oxygen to inflate the bureaucracy, their position, or themselves. Otherwise, it might look self-serving instead of serving others. Diplomacy sometimes looks like more privileges for the privileged and little else. That diplomacy operates in a vacuum, and not enough leaks out to help people on the ground. It should not be

diplomacy just for the sake of diplomacy or diplomacy only mainly for the benefit of diplomats, their office, their bosses, or their institutions.

Don't get us wrong; we think every little bit helps, and diplomacy, of course, helps. We never know where the real tipping point is in any given situation. But the point is that we want to try to do "enough." Right now, diplomacy is not helping nearly enough. I think I can count the successful peace agreements that actually worked in the world over the last few years on just one hand.

If nations truly care about "Youth Peace," then they must fight for ALL "Youth Peace." No nation's youth are safe or protected, while other youths in the world are being persecuted. A nation cannot protect its youth unless they protect all youth. I sometimes worry major nations are just military dictatorships with a "diplomacy" mask. If nations really want peace, they must fight ALL unjustified violence.

I have nothing but admiration and respect for any diplomat leaving their home and family to travel around the world to fight for what they believe in. I've learned one thing that was true. You can't mail it in. I know there are so many talented diplomats who are doing the very best they can around the clock. But it's not just about them. Peace is about everybody. Peace is not just their domain. Peace is everyone's domain. We all have someone to represent, even if it is just ourselves. I have seen diplomats do a great job representing their nation while not doing a good job for peace at the same time.

We think all nations and peoples should have good relations with each other. But "diplomacy" is manmade, so humans can do what they want with it. It's totally their choice and prerogative. But peace is not made by humans but by Greater Forces and is not optional but "mandatory."

Talking is better than waring.

You can have a peace treaty or truce without diplomatic relations. The only thing you need for a peace treaty is peace. If an agreement stops kids from killing kids, it's a peace treaty.

Peace will always be voluntary anyway, regardless of the conditions. Voluntary actions seem like the correct starting point in many difficult situations around the world.

"Free will" and voluntary actions are more important and more powerful than any written agreement. Even written agreements essentially must be adhered to voluntarily. Based on voluntary actions, and reciprocal actions, and not on some very specific and very hard actions and timelines

The absence of an agreement does not mean the parties do nothing; it only means doing something positive but in a different way.

Theoretically speaking, the parties don't need one written agreement or a single meeting. They just need to voluntarily do what needs to be done together. But we understand that up to now, that's not the way things are customarily done. Face-to-face meetings are most desirable due to their long history. Face-to-face meetings also really highlight quickly what adjustments need to be made.

If a country does not respect its own youth, you can practically be assured they don't respect the youth of any other nation either. Sometimes it looks to us that some nations care about everything "except" their own youth. The surefire way to put your own country's youth in danger is to put other countries' youth in danger. It's the slipperiest slope any government or leadership could take to end their own nation. It would truly be a significant and ironic betrayal of youth. We think nations are being self-destructive with

their military actions against other nations. Nations have a lot of peace tools at their disposal. We hope they will use them.

By killing youth, I believe the nations and people cannot be credible sources on issues like refugees, sanctions, reconstruction, humanitarian assistance, sovereignty, and anything else. Arguments will stand or fall on their own, but maybe it's possible that killing foreign youth disqualifies you as a reliable source from nearly everything. If someone goes to the extreme of killing innocent youth, they certainly will have no compunction about lying. It often seems to us that adults get youth to believe in whatever adults want them to believe so that adults can put youth in harm's way.

For anyone or any nation to supply any nation with material or moral support for a youth killing spree and expedition would be a betrayal to each nation's own youth and the rest of the world's youth. It would create an even more "riskier" world for future youth.

No nation has a greater asset than its youth. Youth security is national security. There is no doubt that youth on every side "can be" and "should be" better protected.

To be honest, nations haven't shown a whole lot of "Peace Skills" for an extended period of time. Life might not be rare in the universe but given that it might be spread out over vast amounts of space and time, it's rare to us. We should fight for the life we got here on earth. And there is no reason why all U.N. Member States should not survive as well. It's understandable that one U.N. Member State would not fully trust another U.N. Member State when they haven't shown they have the "Peace Skills" for a more substantial relationship. Less "Peace skills" usually mean less peace. I think the nations need to be honest with one another. Even when we are all honest, it's hard to figure things out. But we are not saying to trust anyone but your youth.

I worry that it takes "extreme bullying" to get to the top of some leadership positions in the world. So "extreme bullying" is all the leaders know how to do when they get there. Some only like their nation's foreign policy as long as their foreign policy is "extreme bullying." If "extreme bullying" is not a phrase you prefer, you can substitute it with "hostile policy" or just "hostile." One possible assessment of this situation could be if there was bullying "before" an agreement and bullying "while trying" to negotiate an agreement, why would one not suspect that there might be the possibility of bullying "after" an agreement is reached?

Leaders continue to try to normalize, politicize, nationalize, legalize, rationalize, standardize, institutionalize, legitimatize and commercialize evil. But evil is none of these things. When some leaders speak of states, politics, and governments above evil, you can see how backward their thinking is. They put the man-made above what Greater Forces makes. We see this every day in many degrees. When man worships the man-made, it is called "selfishness." Nation's words and actions don't have the same power when youth are out of the picture. They take their true place in the conversation. And for the record, we believe killing "any" youth is evil. We do noy have any double standards when it involves put evil because pure evil has no standards. That makes it easy to recognize.

Politics can be great! A man-made invention where up can be down, an area where anything goes. It can be a super pressure release valve. Politics is peaceful. But some try to falsely convince us that unrestrained primal violence instinct is politics or business as usual. It's neither. Politics remind me of a lot of things under the category of "you can't overdo it." If you "overdo" politics, you might end up fighting each other more than fighting our biggest problems.

We do not fight for politics. It's peace and the rest of our Good Principles, not peace and politics. The difference between the man-made, like politics, and what is made by Greater Forces is as distant as the Multiverse. A country in conflict does not require a "political" arrangement, but it does require peaceful behavior. We need nations to lead the world in peace. It's not about politics; it's about principles and youth.

Politicization is not the same as basic human rights. The two should not be conflated. Politicization is an allowed and a non-violent exercise. Threatening and destroying people's lives through violence, hunger, or disease is not politicization at all but a violation of each human's fundamental principles. This is a false choice, but if we had to pick between politics and hunger, we would say, long live politics and death to hunger. All the politics and political talking do not amount to a hill of beans compared to one hungry individual or one single act of violence. Once again, politics and politicization are man-made; we humans, we believe, are created by Greater Forces. Much greater. It feels like sometimes nations confuse politics with violence. But they are as different as day on earth and night on the moon. The broken record our NGO keeps hearing over and over again is what we believe is an incorrect assertion that what man makes is on the same level as what greater forces make.

Nations or anyone should not let outside political maneuvering rule the day. We have much, much higher standards, Good Principles of Humanity, Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality. These we made by "Greater Forces," not man. I sure hope we don't follow "man-made" standards. Yes, often, we all have to react to bullying, but we cannot overreact. We can't let anyone make it about "them." We have to stay focused on "us." For nations, it should be about protecting their youth and deciding their own destiny. Not giving in to the "bully or be bullied" world.

Although some people are constantly pushing the bullying envelope, the bullying steamroller has not won and will not win because, despite those malign efforts, we humans still exist, and there are still free people in this world.

Maybe some nations might think we must tolerate a little evil now to prevent a bigger evil later. That is not our NGO's position. "Bigger evils" often start with "smaller evils." I don't know where other nations stand, but I know where our NGO stands. Our NGO is going to fight all evil no matter where it is on the planet. Violence against youth is almost always unjustified violence

Nations should sometimes take the next step and do more now to "reach out" to other nations. Maybe not in the same way as "leader summits," but in the same "spirit." "Reaching out" is really, really hard. It takes a whole lot of courage. I can tell you our NGO's work consists of 97% doubt and 3% courage. But 3% courage is enough to make a lot of progress if we all work together.

Everyone needs to "reach out" in the name of peace and the rest of the Good Principles of Humanity (PLLFE). I can attest with great confidence that "reaching out" works even if there isn't an immediate connection. That's why our NGO reaches out on a daily basis. It is hard since some factions mistake "sweetness" as "weakness." But we must use every tool we have to help youth. "Reaching out" could be a good peace tool. We are trying to "reach out" to nations' leaders in the place where only their souls and God live.

You can't save your nation without saving the whole world too. A nation cannot float in space on its own. You have to save the world in order to save yourself. Maybe if nations have less fear of other nations, they will have less fear about other things too. But as long as leaders maintain their "free will," they can be reached in the name of peace. Different messages reach different people at different times.

When it comes to the safety and health of a nation's youth, any nation's youth, I think nations should take every reasonable opportunity to "go for it." Especially if they believe as we do that youth and "Youth Peace" might be one of the keys to a much better world. If a nation has "happier" youth, then they really got something special. The old standards don't apply.

Nations need to focus on revitalizing, restoring, reconstituting, and regrouping the health and advancement of their youth. Nations don't need anyone's else youth; They already have all the youth they need. Imagine where nations would be in ten years if this were their focus. Suppose nations' future investment and attention are more geared toward advancing their youth. A nation's future can be so bright on its own; I don't understand why nations would mess with other nations at all. They don't need it, and it doesn't help.

Whoever leads the world in peace will lead the world. That is because whoever leads the world in violence will end with our destruction. If nations think it needs to be a bully to have closer relations with another nation, then maybe they should rethink their relationship with that nation. There might be a day when bullying is not considered a virtue by some nations, and nations' actions might look different then. It seems bullies can't stop themselves from bullying when the situation presents itself. But nations should not change part of their strategy because our NGO said so; they should change it because it's the right thing to do. What might be better than all nations and their peoples adjusting their trade relationships with each other is leaders alone, by themselves just adjusting. That would save the rest of the people in the world a lot of trouble, pain, and sacrifice.

We believe the U.N. is the best entity in the world for achieving peace results. The United Nations Security Council (UNSC), in our opinion, the main focus should not be humanitarian aid but peace. All the humanitarian aid in the world cannot undo the damage done by any single act of violence. But there are some things in this world just humans cannot fix no matter how hard we try, and the result of violence is on top of that list. An ounce of violence prevention is worth a pound of humanitarian aid cure.

Often in many areas, hunger is the "symptom," but violence is the "disease." In general, the more peace the General Assembly side of the U.N. can achieve, the less the humanitarian aid side of the U.N. will be forced to perform miracles. Miracles are hard to predict and often hard to come by. Peace should level off and probably lower humanitarian aid needs anywhere around the world. That way, humanitarian aid organizations, including ones associated with the U.N. will not have to pull a rabbit out of their hat every day. To us, if reform happens at the U.N., it should particularly include it being more peace results oriented.

Helping the youth of the world is "not" meddling in another country's affairs. It is not a local or national issue. Youth is a world issue. And "youth" might even be the world's "biggest" issue. I know our NGO, would be honored to receive help from any nation regarding youth issues anywhere in the world.

To be completely fair and honest, it is hard for our NGO to second guess most final decisions by any U.N. Member Nation. Our NGO simply does not have the authority, responsibility, information, experience, training, expertise, or team to do so. Often, we don't know what nations should do because we are playing our own part and role. We are not offering truth to power but opinion to power. We are members of civil society, and our viewpoint comes from civil society. "Knowledge" may be power, but "wisdom" might be closer to "excellence" if it gives someone the skills on how best to use the information.

However, we are doing our best to push "Youth Peace" and world peace with all the public information we can get our hands on. We know we will never have all the information, but that will not deter us. A bad compass is better than no compass at all. But as long as our NGO exists, the downtrodden people of

the world cannot say that "nobody cares." We hope to play our part in civil society and not let them down. Everything in these emails is not confidential within the U.N. body, but it is proprietary. However, it is hard to impress some nations when peace is not one of their top priorities.

We are not trying to convince anybody of anything. We are putting forth as much relevant information as we can so people can make the best-informed choice possible. If there are only two or three men in the world whose decisions truly affect what is happening on the ground, they need to make the right decisions. We do not want anyone to agree with us; we want them to agree with peace. Peace is the only thing we hope will stick to the wall. We are trying to include all the information and try to provide context. Context gives meaning. We want to add our voice to the peaceful messages they are getting.

We give nations our NGO's honest opinions. We do not think we serve nations well if we merely repeat their policies back to them. Nations already know what their policies are. They don't need us for that. We are saying what we want to say, which might not necessarily be what nations want to hear. Our NGO does not mimic any nation's policies, and to be quite honest, we most often don't know what each nation's policies are in a specific situation.

We do not believe all nations are "perfect," but we do believe that our NGO and others want to try to make things "better" for all nations. We want to move the ball. But there are some nations' policies and practices that our NGO cannot support. We are not defending any malign or malicious acts by any nation, but we are defending all nation's youth. We do not believe that any nation has yet met the peaceful standard or its peaceful obligations to its people, youth, or the world.

We are not trying to tear down any system; we only want to advance them. We think nations can urgently use what our NGO is offering, so we hope to connect. It doesn't matter if we do it on the radar, below the radar, or off the radar. For us, it is not what man makes; it's what Greater Forces made. Looking at what evidence humans have, life forms created in space and time, I don't think they will ever be able to control the space and time they were born into. Maybe our life forms were created to enjoy all there is to enjoy in this universe. Science may be different in different parts of this universe or maybe in other universes, but right and wrong are probably more stable throughout the cosmos.

Everything in our emails and correspondence, including our suggestions, is our humble opinion and our opinion alone, which is for Honorable U.N. Missions to review or discard as you wish. We speak for no one but ourselves, our NGO only. We are "reaching out" from one human being to another. It is not our philosophy to throw the kitchen sink at a problem. We are trying to the best of our abilities to be thoughtful, precise, reasonable, equitable, and fair. We are trying to be constructive. In any case, if someone did not want to receive our emails, we would immediately comply. To do otherwise would be cyber-bullying.

We are not telling anyone how to run their country. We are just encouraging them to eliminate state-sponsored violence, bullying, and abuse. "Stop the Violence, Feed the people." I mean, what is more important than that? Far be it for me to tell adults what to talk or argue about. Adults can say what they want to each other, especially to public officials. A new understanding between nations and their rivals could be established, including the same level of protection for youth anywhere.

We welcome any guidance from U.N. Member States and would be humbled to receive it. But people don't need our NGO to solve problems. They only need a "principled" and effective "team." If you believe you can't do any good, it probably doesn't help you "do" any good.

Of course, we have no way of knowing what some nations think of any part of our "Youth Peace Push." That is unknown to us. We can only try and hope that the "power of our principles" will sell itself.

The parties should appreciate our NGO's input, our support for the U.N. institution and the U.N.S.C., and for not sitting on the sidelines and for trying to help. We want to help nations get ahead of the curve right now, which means "prevention." Sometimes it's easy for us to comment on current events because much of what we are seeing on the world stage is bullying. They are easy calls to make.

We also believe those in civil society like us have an important role and part to play. Sometimes it feels to us that many bureaucracies' main purpose is to build, feed and protect the bureaucracy like it's written into the job description. Civil society actors sometimes bring in very different views. That is why we are so appreciative when any U.N. Office or U.N. Mission meets with us. It may be hard for nations to talk about "Youth Peace" since all nations may be guilty at some time of using and abusing their youth. When it comes to "Youth Peace," maybe all nations might be living in "glass houses."

Organizations in civil society, like ourselves, can play a constructive role in peace. Some officials in different areas cannot see the thousands of paths to peace for any given situation. They seem to act as though peace can only be achieved one way, through them, and done the way they want it. We don't think that kind of team is the best team for many situations. Every little bit helps because we never know where the tipping point is. Everybody has a role to play, and all roles are important. But not all voices of officials are equal, and sometimes, it's only one official's voice that really matters. I sometimes am concerned that some officials are more worried about their success rather than the success of the mission.

ALL the world's youth need more help. A nation's youth's moral failure is connected and interlaced with their nation's adults. Some nations' leaders in the past have twisted and corrupted youth's "special talent" and "age-specific tendencies" to create another horrific and meaningless "Youth vs. Youth War" for their own personal gratification and unsatiable satisfaction. When a person, any person, does murder, torture, rape, disappearance, detention, deportation, and denial of human life's necessities, it is all "extreme violence."

There are some things civil society can do better than governments, businesses, or the military. If U.N. Member States are lacking in one major area, in our humble opinion, it would be "Peace Skills." States may be masters of military strategies, but States are not superior in peace strategies.

Maybe if civil society had been a little bit stronger in some nations, conflict might not have been as bad. It might have made it harder for violent terrorists to recruit people. Our NGO would be most honored to offer grassroots help so civil society can be even more effective in all illustrious nations. Ours NGO's role originates from and is one hundred percent firmly situated in civil society.

Our NGO's overall plan is to try to string some peace victories together. Momentum that, so far, we have not seen. Maybe we can get the world back on the peace track. We need to gain momentum. The enemy of my enemy is not necessarily my enemy or your enemy. The enemy could be an enemy of all civilized and reasonable people. Instead of being "dinosaurs," let's be "time travelers." Let us travel through as much time as we can. The choice seems easy to me, extinction or peace.

IF there's an enemy, it's not nations, states, races, ethnicities, religions, adults, youth, officials, politicians, regions, etc., only people who do "unjustified violence,", especially to youth. And we believe ninety-nine percent of ALL violence is "unjustified."

Our message to nations. Stop killing youth. "Peace First" and "Youth Peace" before that.

VI. Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMDs)

First, we would say we don't think any nation should have any WMDs, and that is a goal we hope the world reaches one day for the sake of youth. All WMDs are pointed at youth who are completely and totally innocent.

Nukes and WMD are the worst things for youth, no matter what stage they are in. Nukes only purpose is to kill youth in massive unlimited amounts. There is absolutely nothing about Nukes that is good for youth, whether they are held by any nation.

Our position of "No Nukes" is exactly the same no matter which nation has them and or at what stage. All Nukes and WMDs must go. I am totally serious. Don't take it personally. We don't trust anyone past, present, or future, including myself, with Nukes. Nukes do not give security; they are "the end" of security, especially with youth.

We also want to state unequivocally that all "Nukes are Dead." Not one person, entity, or country should develop, test, or use them, etc. Self-defense is a natural and God-given right, but the difference between defense and offense might be slight. No nukes.

Nations should not denote or test a nuclear weapon. We hate nuclear weapons and all weapons of mass destruction (WMDs). There is no reason for any nation to donate or test any more WMDs. I can tell you exactly what will happen. If the weapon is detonated in public, it will kill thousands and possibly millions of perfect equal youth. This is guaranteed. So, there is no need for any nation or party to test any WMDs anymore since no matter where they are used in public, the result will be the same. In addition, any nation or party trying to build or acquire any WMDs should stop. And those who already have WMDs should get rid of all of them. All nukes. We don't like sanctions, but we don't like WMDs more.

No More Nukes. Nukes are worse than sanctions. Much worse. No more. Test something else that preferably is more peaceful and youth friendly. We do not support nukes doing "anything" by "anyone." No double standards because all nukes are the same. Test "Peace Skills."

I don't see an "immediate" and "viable" threat right now against any country that would expedite or facilitate the test of a nuclear weapon. At this moment in time, scorning nuclear weapons might be one of the simplest things the world can do to help world peace.

And in our opinion, there is no way in heck U.N. Member States should ever allow one more nation, person, group, or entity to ever acquire WMDs, including nuclear weapons, under any circumstances. The world already has a ridiculous number of nations that possess WMDs, which makes us all live close to the brink. We can't have one more entity gain WMDs. That could easily push us over the edge. To us, this means zero WMDs for Iran, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Ukraine, South Korea, Japan, and any other of the U.N. Member States or entity that does not yet possess WMDs. And if there is any threat of WMD proliferation, humanity must muster everything it's got to stop it, or it could be game over. Nine States that are presumed to have nuclear weapons are nine too many.

There are better ways to protect national interests and national security other than Nukes. I live in the U.S.A., but if I lived in Russia, it would be the same thing. I and my country could be completely destroyed by Nukes in just ten minutes after the start of an attack. This is not the way for any of us to live. The constant threat of a real, immediate, and viable WMD attack is extremely stressful for each

nation's population. WMDs create extremely hazardous environmental situations for each party and the world. WMDs can destroy the largest amount of human life in the shortest amount of time.

Processing WMDs includes the risk of an accident or unauthorized use, which would inadvertently hurt a party's own population or a friendly neighbor or start a conflict. Neither having nor not having WMDs has not decreased the potential for conflict in the world. Possessing WMDs has not advanced the peace process in the world. A party having WMDs has not reduced the risk of WMDs from other parties. WMDs do not guarantee survival. They attempt to guarantee the non-survival of other parties. The development of WMDs diverts precious time, energy, resources, personnel, and funding from important societal needs of the parties and the world.

The more WMDs you have, maybe the more risk of a preemptive strike by one party may be. The is no real defense against WMDs. There is no dual purpose of WMDs other than to destroy humans and humanity. There was bullying before WMDs, and WMD did not stop this ongoing bullying. If other nations want to process their own WMDs. That would add greater instability and danger to their region.

Our NGO doesn't trust "anyone" with WMDs. We think all WMDs must be destroyed before they destroy us. All WMDs have got to go. This is probably one of the reasons we believe that when anyone says they are building peaceful "Nuclear Energy" capability, we think they need constant and very detailed monitoring. We are not even sure how nuclear energy fits, if at all, with a future eco-friend planet. We don't think any WMDs are fair to youth or the world.

We don't even want any long-range ICBMs. No long-range intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBM) either. What is the purpose of long-range ICBMs? It is obvious to deliver a nuclear warhead against youth anywhere in the world. Imagine a world without any long-range ICBMs. It would be a much better world. Having that kind of violent threat removed from over the heads of youth worldwide would show youth the "ultimate respect" and possibly help create a youth confidence boon. Nuclear weapons are "off the table." They all need to be shelved." I'm not sure how many more ways there are to say it. The gauge on how nations do and if our NGO is being successful is whether there is a "substantial improvement" in each youth's individual world. "Less threats against youth," verbal or otherwise, I believe "would" be a "substantial improvement" in the lives of youth.

However, the firing and testing of long-range Intercontinental Basaltic Missiles (ICBM) by any nation is a threat to all youth in the world. Even our NGO would not feel safe from long-range ICBMs. Being consistent, we hope that all nations refrain from firing or testing any long-range ICBMs and also from violating other nations' "air defense identification zones." There might not be a strong enough treaty in the world to prevent all nations and parties from testing WMDs and missiles, but regions can create their own relevant regional weapons "test bans" by "example."

No nation should be an "immediate" and "viable" threat to any of its neighbor's youth. No WMDs are probably number one on our Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO) "Youth Peace" "wish list." We don't want them, and we don't need them to survive.

Nukes kill nations one way or another.

Maybe one day, no one will have WMDs. Although aggression might be in man's primal nature, all arms races in the region and the world may have to be reversed in the name of human survival. But it has to start somewhere. Any nation should be honored to be the first and to be the one to set the example of putting human civilization on its right course. If someone does believe that there can be peace among humans, then they may be greatly underestimating all of humanity's precious gifts.

With WMDs, the world needs for sure anywhere and everywhere. No exceptions. No double standards. All Nukes do the same exact thing. No differences between them. They all got to go. All of them. It's nothing personal. It's a matter of human survival. And since the parties are dealing with WMDs, nothing should be misunderstood, left to chance, or fuzzy about it.

Thousands of Nukes of all kinds sure didn't help any youth from dying and suffering since their invention. No Nukes set a direction in often an aimless world.

Trying to "co-exist" with WMDs is an oxymoron. No Nukes will allow all the youth in the world to be the first to live in true peace, without violence, which includes the threat of violence hanging over their heads. To live without the "viable" and "immediate" threat of death, destruction, and injury. It's time for the world to advance. A substantial reduction of WMDs can help lead the way by prioritizing "Youth Peace." The kids are worth it.

If the nations show other nations enough kindness, consideration, good faith, and teamwork, they won't have to ask them to permanently remove their WMDs; they will want to on their own "voluntarily," as well as many other pro-peace, pro-human rights actions.

WMDs include but are not limited to nuclear, biological, or chemical.

Regardless of if there is an agreement or not, there should "STILL" be No Nukes. The goal is not agreements but no Nukes.

I can see WMD denotations, ICBM testing, overly aggressive military drills, and certainly any proliferation being deal-breakers for any peace or denuclearization effort.

But for us, the ultimate goal of weaponry is not only the removal of all WMDs, but for the substantial demilitarization of the world so all kids can finally live in peace. Freeze and rewind the runaway arms race in the world, which, although it was already at an unsustainable high level, has accelerated during the last year. More weapons, more WMDs, and more distrust in such close proximity to each other is not a good combination. Men are still doing it wrong.

I believe the world and human survival are hanging by a string and the use of WMDs by anyone, anywhere, stretches the string to unimaginable proportions. I think nations already have all the tools they need to end human life on earth. Quicky, completely, and permanently. I feel if I ignored this very real possibility, I would be walking around in a dream world.

VII. Teamwork

We think "teamwork" is the answer to everything. There is nothing a team can't do. Nearly practically all man-made problems have man-made solutions. Unfortunately, deceased youth is one of the things we can't fix. We believe civil society organizations like ourselves can play an important role in peace. For although many nations are "expert negotiators," that does not always transcend into being "expert peace negotiators."

The entire world and everyone in it decide what kind of world team there should be, if any, and when at every second.

The type of team we advocate most for is an equal youth and adults' team. Fifty percent youth, fifty percent adults. For one reason, if past is prologue, we find it very hard to believe in trickle-down anything when it comes to youth.

The best way to change the world for the better is with different world team management.

We can observe one, and only one, problem in the world, not enough teamwork.

More important than trying to convince somebody is to include everybody.

All us adults, on our best day, can only get us halfway to solving the world's problems. That's because we are missing the other half—the world's youth.

We are trying to save the world. Of course, we can't do it alone.

A peace team needs all its parts. If one person is left out either voluntarily or purposely, it would proportionally handicap the team and compromise its conclusions. Any peace team needs full strength to fully reach its potential. Peace can be hard enough, even at full strength

Everyone has a role and part to play, and all roles and parts are important. We need everyone to do their part/role/job with excellence. Everyone is helping the world just by being in it

We also believe in any situation, that everyone has a role to play and that all roles are important. We think if everyone is allowed to do their job, and they do jobs with "excellence," solutions will be realized faster. We think this is especially true with peace. That is why we are suggesting that all nations encourage and help more civil society entities in your great county.

Every subject is important, but each topic must be put into perspective and prioritized on a daily basis to have the best chance of achieving "excellence." Otherwise, real on-the-ground progress might not gain traction, may go in circles, or may just spin its wheels, helping nobody.

A person might not be able to figure it all out, but a team of people can come up with many peace solutions. Our answer is teamwork to any other conflict. But what works today for peace might not work tomorrow. And vice-versa. Like other things that are very important to us, peace might always require maintenance. The standard is "excellence," not doing what is easiest or hardest.

"Winning" is very subjective, whereas "excellence" is a more defined and a much better standard.

Every situation is different. NGO doesn't expect anyone else to do our job for us, so why should anyone do anyone else job? Doing your job is part of our roadmap to excellence.

Everyone has a role to play in peace, and all roles are important. Everyone needs to do their job with excellence to make sure all the bases are covered, and the final result is sound.

There is no rush on a peace agreement. They should take their time and get it right. The standard is excellence, not speed. However, "Youth Peace" must be immediate, unconditional, and stable and cannot exclude a single solitary individual.

Once there is a cessation of youth violence against other youth, nations will have enormous credibility and an example to substantially and positively impact another region in "Youth Peace." "Excellence" is our team operating standard, and we believe this approach could achieve "excellence."

Negotiate for peace. Take your time and get it right. Peace is too important for the result not to be excellent.

Both the big picture and the smaller picture matter. Everything matters, and anything is possible. We do not know enough about the universe to know for sure what is possible or impossible.

The past matters "big time," but we cannot change the past, live, or even go back in the past. What we do right now matters most. *Tomorrow is another day. Just one day can, and often does, make all the difference.* I believe nobody knows the future or can even guarantee that there will be a future.

It's not only what you say but how you say it. And it's not only what you do but also how you do it. And there are some things you can't say or do "nicely

We think it's great, fair, and in good faith, to be honest, and give someone a "heads up," give "advance notice," and "fair warning" of what someone might do "if" something happens. Most people don't like bad surprises, and clear and telegraphed intentions and actions can also avoid miscalculations.

I believe that "Institutionalized Patriarchy" might be at the root of human mismanagement of this world. It was a noble attempt, but I think most patriarchs only take care of their own families and do not take care of other people's families, no matter what position they are in. It's a successful team but not close to being successful enough for humanity. We deserve and need better. I am not referring to families but governing. Plus, "Institutionalized Patriarchy," which are often one-man shows, oversteps and unfairly curbs family patriarchs.

When we say "Institutionalized Patriarchy," we mean older men with intangible but recognized and accepted authority who care about other people's families but not nearly enough. For us, even if the status quo is good, the status quo is not good enough. Survival is a race. We must continually build our countermeasures for all human survival threats, known or unknown. With the world's current management team, we do not think the world is advancing enough. Yes, it is advancing but not nearly enough, and we want to do "enough." On the advancement scale, I would rate the world's current progress at only 3.5 out of 10. Taking into consideration the destruction of the natural environment, the extinction of species, the large amount of human suffering, etc. The system is working, but it's not working nearly well enough. It doesn't suit our needs.

But we also know that a few "Institutionalized Patriarchs" will say anything to try to justify the unjustifiable for their own very personal benefit. Pitting youth against youth in violence is the oldest trick in the "Institutionalized Patriarch's" book. Violence-loving people spew thousands of false reasons to do unjustified violence. We have only one reason against it, human rights/principles. Our principles don't change with the wind. I'm not sure our principles ever change at all. Once again, one of our definitions of "Institutionalized Patriarchy" is men who are put in a leadership position under the pretenses of helping your family but don't. One of the reasons why it's hard to sometimes fully support any nation's policies is that they are all derived from the same basic system. Political systems around the world may be very, very different, but the governance seems one and the same.

It is every nation's right to complain about the other. But many nations' hierarchies are very similar to each other in this important aspect, so no nation can really claim innocence or absolution when negative results from this particular governmental structure.

Not Patriarchy, that's a bedrock, but "Institutionalized Patriarchy." Patriarchs in families are part of the center of the human universe. Patriarchs in outside groups that try to run other people's families are a failed method.

The moral of conflict today to us is that one man in charge is more often the problem, not the solution. Some leaders are giving all of us older men a bad name. They show that we can't handle the world. There are some of us older men who are reasonable and fair. We should be because the world looks very different in the eyes of youth. We feel like we can say this because we are also cut from the same cloth, so we know of what we speak. Maybe we all can also create a future where just "one man" in the world can do so much damage. We often hear how a new "world order" is here. But to us, it always looks exactly like the same world order. The change is in name only.

I don't know why a planet of almost eight billion people would let one man turn the entire world upside down.

When nations are run by one-man-bully-shows, we get a "bully or be bullied world" and a whole bunch of militaristic nations. There are different kinds of teams.

As far as world governance is concerned, men may have already shown that they cannot organize it in a way where they can handle it. We challenge them to do better.

Maybe nations' "teams" could be expanded to include more members or even civil society. The decisions made at these potential new meetings will affect a great many other people and not just governmental personnel. The parties should get the best informed of all the possibilities before any action so they may be taken into consideration with adequate time to adjust if necessary. Maybe sometimes it's not what "we don't know" but what we think "we do know."

Fighting violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) should be an equal adult and youth team. This is a coordinated effort for world peace. It's not just one country. We can only guess have far youth can help take humankind. When there is "Youth Peace," world peace, and teamwork, then humanity will truly have "no limits." We have to look at the big picture. The big human picture. The human advancement picture and the human survival picture. If something helps families/close units, then it is "advancement." All us humans have a limited life span, one hundred years or so. We should use our precious and short time here to move the ball and raise the bar. I mean, does man really own anything on this planet? It's an interesting concept. Maybe we are just using the earth and its resources temporarily.

If you think we only care about youth, you are half right, for we care just as much as the adult half too. We are not necessarily "anti-establishment," but we are "anti-poor or insufficient progress." But the entire world and everyone in it decides what kind of world team there should be, if any, and when.

We, of course, are not a U.N. entity, but we work with and through the team that the U.N. has already established. But we are not diplomats. And despite our many flaws, we are genuine. But we are less concerned about what parties think about us and our emails and more concerned about what the parties think about peace. We are not promoting ourselves; we are promoting peace.

Every nation has the authority, power, and right to change and choose its nation's decision-making processes anytime they want., It's what the "whole" team decides on an ongoing basis what should be done voluntarily. Why? Because it will be a totally equal team with equal contributions, equal representation, with no bullying, which is in line with the Good Principles of Humanity. That is because most people are moral, and equality is the Super Principle.

We believe a partnership based on equal teamwork is the best path to get anywhere. An equal team means each individual must have strong independence so they can bring their full capabilities to the table. The stronger the independence of the team members, the stronger the team could be.

VIII. Justice

When it comes to violence bully and abuse (VBA) we don't believe anyone should get away with anything, no matter how long it takes or what their mature age is. That includes youth. Justice is a "Peace Accelerator." We are not oblivious to the malign acts in the world, but we do want to be part of the group that makes things better. There is no "law" that says you need a "law" to do something.

Our Good Principles of Humanity (PLLFE) should create the laws, our Good Principles should be used when applying the laws, and our Good Principles should change the laws if they do not closely match our Good Principles. There is an exception to every rule, including this one. Meaning sometimes there can be an exception, but sometimes there is not.

We believe when anyone commits an inhuman act, they are "morally guilty" at the moment of the attack, which we believe is much, much worse than being guilty in the eyes of the law. Our NGO knows what "unjustified violence" looks like. If you compromise on morality, there is not much left to compromise on.

Justice can end a thread of violence where revenge does not. If someone kills your family and your friends, you may be motivated to kill the perpetrators, whether it's under the name of terrorism or not. That's why justice could be an essential part of any peace plan. Justice ends that thread of violence when revenge just keeps that thread of violence going and going. With revenge, there is no closure. People who are acting as the judge, jury, and executioner are acting with great irresponsibility. Peace without justice, I suppose, it's possible, but it makes firmly established peace several million times harder.

Justice should never ever be forgotten, but justice sometimes takes time. This could be to ensure that the right people are held accountable so it would not be an injustice on top of injustice.

Contrary to conventional wisdom, we believe that unjustified violence is not a deterrence for violence or anything else, but unjustified violence we believe is the number one motivator for additional unjustified violence. Justice, however, we believe, ends that thread of violence. To build on to the statement of the great Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., unjustified violence anywhere is unjustified violence everywhere, and unjustified violence anywhere is a threat to peace everywhere. No justice, no peace? Once again, I suppose peace might be possible in some rare cases where there is no justice. Peace is always possible. But I know for sure that without justice, peace is a whoooole lot harder to achieve.

Someone might say that "peace" doesn't work in the real world. You know what else does work in the real world? Violence. Violence has been "holding back" humankind for centuries. We need to be "better" than every other species that have been on this planet. Why? Because ninety-nine percent of every species on this planet is already extinct. A "bully or be bullied" world, which we currently live in world stunts our human advancement to a point where our advancement is woefully insufficient. Outside violence,

bullying, and abuse (VBS), the only thing our NGO is against is insufficient human advancement or "pseudo" advancement, which is not in line with the Good Principles of Humanity.

Right now, I would say some leaders in the world are masters of only one thing, "getting away with it." But if I learned one thing in life, it is "don't mess with karma." Some leaders might be getting a crash course in "karma." I've seen karma happen too many times not to give it my complete and full respect. Violence especially has a proven "boomerang effect." The bigger the storm you create, the bigger the storm will be to come back your way. Violence is easy to do but much harder to get away with. Keeping the violence going to avoid restitution, accountability, or justice may work for a short time, but they can never be outrun because they are some of the pillars of peace, and peace will never be abandoned.

IX. God/Religion

I worship only Deity because I believe that divinity cannot be replicated, transferred, compromised, or diminished. Our NGO is not religious nor political, but I personally believe in God and that God is a Deity of peace. When I commune with God, I discover peace, not violence. I don't see how anyone can worship violence and worship God at the same time. I believe God will judge someone unfavorably when they do unjustified violence. God created life to live, not to be wastefully destroyed. God has given humans all the tools we need to make our own decisions. But it's up to us individually to make the right decisions.

Humans are special, we are the only species on this planet and maybe the only species in the universe gifted with "free will." It seems like there is no end to how deep inside ourselves we can go. Even if a person decides to give up their "free will," they are still individually responsible for what they do. I believe humanity wants peace and that God judges those who are peaceful favorably. Those who believe the opposite, in my personal opinion, are taking the ultimate risk. Those who are doing unjustified violence in the name of God might be in for a big and unpleasant surprise. They need to do some good deeds and work their way back to "basic neutral" before it's too late.

I believe all religions are peaceful. I believe religion has been doing all the heavy lifting for centuries for peace, and it's time for the rest of us to catch up.

Men of God do not take the sides of men, only the side of God.

We need to protect what God and nature made first before we start to protect what humankind has made. In most cases, we can replace what humankind has made, but we cannot replace what God and nature have made. We are not hesitant to call all of us humans.

I believe the path of peace is the "path of God," and when you find God, you find peace. That God is a God of peace. This has been my experience, and I am thoroughly convinced of this.

I believe there is only one God and that God is a God of peace. When I connect with God, I discover peace, and I am at peace.

Also, when we hear "nation backed" or this or that backed people, we also want to point out that all youth are backed by God, nature, the Good Principles of Humanity, and many NGO's including our own.

There may have been or still is many names and faces of God but we believe is it's the same God.

Some people seem to get upset because someone does not treat them as a God. Unfortunately, sooner or later, they are going to find out that they are not God.

Man is not God, and God is not man. Nothing we mortal men do is divine. Only what God does is divine. Divinity is the sole power and domain of Deity.

Nothing a mortal man does is divine. Nothing man makes or creates can challenge, rival, or override what is made by Greater Forces. *Greater Forces being God, nature or something else according to your beliefs.* The difference between what Greater Forces make and what man makes is as great as the multiverse. If you think what humans make is on the same level as what Greater Forces make, I say good luck with that.

Our NGO is not on a mission from God. We do not speak for God, nor are we delivering a message from God. We are on a human rights and peace mission. However, again in our humble opinion, God's ultimate gift to humans is "free will" and "true choice." Although we can make choices during our life, we believe the "final choice" will not be ours but decided by the Greater Power. God may have given us challenges, but it is up to us how we handle them. A person may "get away with it" in this world, but you may not "get away with it" in the next world. Maybe nothing in the universe truly dies.

We believe violence, bullying, and abuse (VBA) are the "Head of the Snake," the greatest threat to human advancement, human happiness, and human survival. So, fighting unjustified violence, bullying and abuse transcends man-made laws and is only overruled by the Good Principles of Humanity, Peace, Life, Love, Freedom, and Equality (PLLFE) and the correct application of the principles. It's not only what you do but how you do it and overruled ultimately by the laws of nature and God.

I believe in justice and spirituality but not in events being "inevitable." That is because of our special gift of "free will" and our ability to make "true choices."

We pray to God to protect all of the world's youth.